Finite semifields and nonsingular tensors

Michel Lavrauw

Finite Geometries, Third Irsee Conference June 10-25, 2011

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

A finite semifield ${\mathbb S}$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

(S1) $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a finite group

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

(S1) (S, +) is a finite group
(S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
∀x, y, z ∈ S : x ∘ (y + z) = x ∘ y + x ∘ z
∀x, y, z ∈ S : (x + y) ∘ z = x ∘ z + y ∘ z

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- (S1) $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a finite group
- (S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
- (S3) (\mathbb{S}, \circ) has no zero-divisors

 $\blacktriangleright \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} : x \circ y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- (S1) (S, +) is a finite group
- (S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
- (S3) (S, \circ) has no zero-divisors
- (S4) (S, \circ) has a unit
 - $\blacksquare \exists u \in \mathbb{S}, \forall x \in \mathbb{S} : x \circ u = u \circ x = x,$

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

- (S1) $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a finite group
- (S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
- (S3) (S, \circ) has no zero-divisors
- (S4) (S, \circ) has a unit

(without (S4) \rightarrow pre-semifield)

From a pre-semifield to a semifield

Let (\mathbb{S}, \circ) be a pre-semifield and $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{S}$.

Define a new multiplication:

$$(a \circ u) * (u \circ b) = a \circ b.$$

Then $(\mathbb{S}, *)$ is a semifield, with unit $u \circ u$.

<ロト < 個 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の < @</p>





► A finite field is a finite semifield.



- A finite field is a finite semifield.
- Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : \left(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ\right) \begin{cases} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} .

- A finite field is a finite semifield.
- Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : (\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ) \begin{cases} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{cases}$$

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} .

 \rightarrow Let's prove (S3): no zero divisors.

- A finite field is a finite semifield.
- Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : \left(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{array} \right.$$

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} .

 \rightarrow Let's prove (S3): no zero divisors. Suppose $(x, y) \circ (u, v) = (0, 0)$. If u = 0 or v = 0, then (u, v) = (0, 0). If $u \neq 0 \neq v$, then

$$\begin{cases} xu + \alpha y^{q} v^{q} = 0\\ xv + yu = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} xuv + \alpha y^{q} v^{q+1} = 0\\ xvu + yu^{2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

If $y \neq 0$ then $\alpha y^{q-1} v^{q+1} = u^2$, a contradiction. Hence $y = 0 \Rightarrow (x, y) = (0, 0)$.

(ロト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 の Q ()

A finite field is a finite semifield.

Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : \left(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{array} \right.$$

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} . Notice: \mathbb{S}_D is commutative, but not associative.

Generalized twisted fields (A. A. Albert 1961):

$$\mathbb{S}_{GT}$$
 : $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, +, \circ)$ with $x \circ y = xy - \eta x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$,

$$lpha, eta \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), \ Fix(lpha) = Fix(eta) = \mathbb{F}_q$$
, where

$$\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \{x^{lpha - 1}y^{eta - 1} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Knuth (1965):

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"
- Knuth (1965): "We are concerned with a certain type of algebraic system, called a semifield. Such a system has several names in the literature, where it is called, for example, a "nonassociative division ring" or a "distributive quasifield". Since these terms are rather lengthy, and since we make frequent reference to such systems in this paper, the more convenient name semifield will be used."

Since 1965, people have been using the name semifields.

Classification results*

* without assumptions on the nuclei

Classification results*

- A two-dimensional finite semifield is a finite field (Dickson 1906)
- A three-dimensional finite semifield is a twisted field or a field (Menichetti 1977) (Conjectured by Kaplansky)
- The smallest nonassociative semifield has size 16, and semifields have been classified by computer up to order 243 (Rúa-Combarro 2010)

* without assumptions on the nuclei

Translation planes from a semifield $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$

$$(\mathbb{S}, \circ) \rightarrow \text{projective plane } \pi(\mathbb{S}) := (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{P}: \text{ points } (a, b, c), \text{ i.e. } (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, c), \text{ or } (1, b, c) \\ \mathcal{L}: \text{ lines } [x, y, z], \text{ i.e. } [0, 0, 1], [0, 1, z], \text{ or } [1, y, z] \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Incidence: } (a, b, c)\mathcal{I}[x, y, z] \Leftrightarrow az = b \circ y + cx \end{array}$$

Theorem

The incidence structure $\pi(S)$ is a projective plane. Moreover, it is a translation plane AND a its dual is also a translation plane.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Types of finite translation planes



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

[Hughes - Piper, Projective Planes, Springer, 1973]

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ のへで

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^F \circ' b^G = (a \circ b)^H$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^{\mathsf{F}} \circ' b^{\mathsf{G}} = (a \circ b)^{\mathsf{H}}$$

▶ If such an isotopism exists, then S and S' are called isotopic.

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^{\mathsf{F}} \circ' b^{\mathsf{G}} = (a \circ b)^{\mathsf{H}}$$

- ▶ If such an isotopism exists, then S and S' are called isotopic.
- Semifield $\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \text{isotopism class } [\mathbb{S}]$

From a pre-semifield to a semifield

Let \mathbb{S}, \circ be a pre-semifield and $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{S}$.

Define a new multiplication:

$$(a \circ u) * (u \circ b) = a \circ b.$$

Then $(\mathbb{S}, *)$ is a semifield isotopic to the pre-semifield (\mathbb{S}, \circ) :

$$a^{R_u} \circ b^{L_u} = a \circ b.$$

 $(\text{Isotopism} (R_u, L_u, id))$

The left nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

The left nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The middle nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}) := \{ y : y \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, z \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The right nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}) := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The left nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The middle nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}) := \{ y : y \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, z \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The right nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}) := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

The center

 $Z(\mathbb{S}) := \{ c : c \in \mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{r}(\mathbb{S}) \mid x \circ c = c \circ x, \forall x \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

The left nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The middle nucleus

 $\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}) := \{ y : y \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, z \in \mathbb{S} \},\$

The right nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}) := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$$

The center

 $Z(\mathbb{S}) := \{ c : c \in \mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{r}(\mathbb{S}) \mid x \circ c = c \circ x, \forall x \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

⇒ left vector space over the left nucleus $\mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) =: V_{l}(\mathbb{S})$ ⇒ right vector space over the right nucleus $\mathbb{N}_{r}(\mathbb{S}) =: V_{r}(\mathbb{S})$

$$y^{L_x} = x \circ y \Rightarrow L_x \in End(V_r(\mathbb{S}))$$
$$y^{R_x} = y \circ x \Rightarrow R_x \in End(V_l(\mathbb{S}))$$

Action of Sym(3) on the isotopism classes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Action of Sym(3) on the isotopism classes

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$
Action of Sym(3) on the isotopism classes

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

▶ Permuting the indices of the a_{ijk} gives six semifields (Knuth 1965) ⇒ six semifields S₁,...,S₆

Action of Sym(3) on the isotopism classes

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$

- Permuting the indices of the a_{ijk} gives six semifields (Knuth 1965) ⇒ six semifields S₁,...,S₆
- Knuth orbit $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ [\mathbb{S}_1], \dots, [\mathbb{S}_6] \}$

The Knuthorbit of a semifield $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$



Figure: The nuclei are denoted by I, m, r

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO FINITE SEMIFIELDS

- Construction of examples
- Proving that these examples are "new"
- Extension of the Knuth orbit
- Classification results
- 1. General case
- 2. Two-dimensional case $(dimV_l(\mathbb{S}) = 2)$
- 3. Commutative semifields and symplectic semifields

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

4. Rank two commutative semifields (RTCS)

(4日) (個) (主) (主) (三) の(の)

A spread of PG(V) is a partition of the pointset by subspaces of the same dimension.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

A spread of PG(V) is a partition of the pointset by subspaces of the same dimension.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

► A spread D is called Desarguesian if D is obtained by "field-reduction" from a projective space π(D)

- A spread of PG(V) is a partition of the pointset by subspaces of the same dimension.
- ► A spread D is called Desarguesian if D is obtained by "field-reduction" from a projective space π(D)
- If D is a spread of PG(V), and T is a subset of PG(V) then we define

$$B_{\mathcal{D}}(T) := \{S \in \mathcal{D} : S \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- A spread of PG(V) is a partition of the pointset by subspaces of the same dimension.
- ► A spread D is called Desarguesian if D is obtained by "field-reduction" from a projective space π(D)
- If D is a spread of PG(V), and T is a subset of PG(V) then we define

$$B_{\mathcal{D}}(T) := \{ S \in \mathcal{D} : S \cap T \neq \emptyset \}$$

If T is a subspace, and D is a Desarguesian spread, then B_D(T) → π(D) is called a linear set of π(D).

- A spread of PG(V) is a partition of the pointset by subspaces of the same dimension.
- ► A spread D is called Desarguesian if D is obtained by "field-reduction" from a projective space π(D)
- If D is a spread of PG(V), and T is a subset of PG(V) then we define

$$B_{\mathcal{D}}(T) := \{ S \in \mathcal{D} : S \cap T \neq \emptyset \}$$

- If T is a subspace, and D is a Desarguesian spread, then B_D(T) → π(D) is called a linear set of π(D).
- ▶ If *T* has dimension *d*, then $B_D(T)$ is a linear set of rank d + 1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. The general case: linear sets from a semifield ${\mathbb S}$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

1. The general case: linear sets from a semifield $\mathbb S$

The set {R_x : x ∈ S} ⊂ End(V_I(S)) is an F_q-vector space of dimension n.

1. The general case: linear sets from a semifield $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$

The set {R_x : x ∈ S} ⊂ End(V_I(S)) is an F_q-vector space of dimension n.

 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear set } L(\mathbb{S}) \text{ in } \mathrm{PG}(End(V_l(\mathbb{S}))) = \mathrm{PG}(l^2 - 1, q^{n/l})$ of rank *n*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. The general case: linear sets from a semifield $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$

The set {R_x : x ∈ S} ⊂ End(V_I(S)) is an F_q-vector space of dimension n.

 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear set } L(\mathbb{S}) \text{ in } \mathrm{PG}(End(V_l(\mathbb{S}))) = \mathrm{PG}(l^2 - 1, q^{n/l})$ of rank *n*.

Since S has no zero divisors, R_x is non-singular and hence L(S) is disjoint from the (1 − 2)nd secant variety of the Segre variety S_{1,1}(q^{n/1}).

1. The general case: linear sets from a semifield ${\mathbb S}$

The set {R_x : x ∈ S} ⊂ End(V_I(S)) is an F_q-vector space of dimension n.

 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear set } L(\mathbb{S}) \text{ in } \mathrm{PG}(End(V_l(\mathbb{S}))) = \mathrm{PG}(l^2 - 1, q^{n/l})$ of rank *n*.

Since S has no zero divisors, R_x is non-singular and hence L(S) is disjoint from the (1 − 2)nd secant variety of the Segre variety S_{1,1}(q^{n/1}).

Denote this secant variety by Ω



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Let G denote the stabiliser of the two families of maximal subpaces on S_{1,1}(q^{n/1}).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Let G denote the stabiliser of the two families of maximal subpaces on S_{1,1}(q^{n/1}).
- Let X denote the set of linear sets of rank n disjoint from Ω .

- Let G denote the stabiliser of the two families of maximal subpaces on S_{l,l}(q^{n/l}).
- Let X denote the set of linear sets of rank n disjoint from Ω .

Theorem (ML2011)

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the isotopism classes of semifields of order q^n , *I*-dimensional over their left nucleus and the orbits of *G* on the set *X*.



2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ のへで

- 2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)
 - ► L(S) is an F_q-linear set in PG(3, q^{n/2}) disjoint from a hyperbolic quadric



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- 2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)
 - L(S) is an F_q-linear set in PG(3, q^{n/2}) disjoint from a hyperbolic quadric



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Classification for n = 4 (Cardinali - Polverino - Trombetti, 2006)

- 2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)
 - L(S) is an F_q-linear set in PG(3, q^{n/2}) disjoint from a hyperbolic quadric



- Classification for n = 4 (Cardinali Polverino Trombetti, 2006)
- Towards a classification for n = 6 (Marino Polverino -Trombetti)

2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Lot's of new examples (see Giuseppe's talk)

2. Rank two semifields (I=2) (2-dim over left nucleus)

- Lot's of new examples (see Giuseppe's talk)
- Extension of the Knuth orbit \rightarrow translation dual

 $\mathbb{S}\mapsto\mathbb{S}^{\perp}$

[Lunardon - Marino - Polverino - Trombetti 2008], special case of "switching" from [Ball - Ebert - ML 2007]



コン (型) (き) (き) (き) (の)()

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Theorem (Kantor 2003)

A pre-semifield \mathbb{S} is symplectic if and only if the pre-semifield \mathbb{S}^{dt} is isotopic to a commutative semifield.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Theorem (Kantor 2003)

A pre-semifield \mathbb{S} is symplectic if and only if the pre-semifield \mathbb{S}^{dt} is isotopic to a commutative semifield.

Theorem (Lunardon-Marino-Polverino-Trombetti 2011) S is symplectic $\iff L(S)$ is contained in an $(\frac{l^2+l}{2}-1)$ -dimensional subspace intersecting $S_{l,l}(q^{n/l})$ in a Veronese variety $\mathcal{V}_l(q^{n/l})$



・ロト・(四)・(日)・(日)・(日)

▶ Extension of the Knuth orbit if $l = 3 \rightarrow$ symplectic dual (using polarity in PG(5, $q^{n/3}$) containing $\mathcal{V}_3(q^{n/3})$) [Lunardon, Marino, Polverino, Trombetti]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- ▶ Extension of the Knuth orbit if $l = 3 \rightarrow$ symplectic dual (using polarity in $PG(5, q^{n/3})$ containing $\mathcal{V}_3(q^{n/3})$) [Lunardon, Marino, Polverino, Trombetti]
- New examples
- Perfect nonlinear functions, equiangular lines, MUBS, …

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めぬぐ

▶ L(S) is contained in a plane intersecting $Q^+(3, q^{n/2})$ in a conic



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

▶ L(S) is contained in a plane intersecting $Q^+(3, q^{n/2})$ in a conic



many links with other structures in finite geometry, e.g. flocks of a quadratic cone and translation ovoids of GQ, pseudo-ovoids ("eggs") and TGQ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

▶ L(S) is contained in a plane intersecting $Q^+(3, q^{n/2})$ in a conic



many links with other structures in finite geometry, e.g. flocks of a quadratic cone and translation ovoids of GQ, pseudo-ovoids ("eggs") and TGQ

 few examples known: field, Dickson (1906), Cohen-Ganley (1982), Penttila-Williams (1999)

Knuth-orbit [Ball - Brown 2004]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

classification results


4. Rank two commutative semifields (RTCS)

classification results

Theorem (Cohen - Ganley 1982)

For q even the only RTCS of order q^2 is the finite field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

4. Rank two commutative semifields (RTCS)

classification results

Theorem (Cohen - Ganley 1982)

For q even the only RTCS of order q^2 is the finite field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

Theorem (Ball - Blokhuis - ML 2003, ML 2006)

Let S be an RTCS of order q^{2n} , q an odd prime power (p^{2n} , p an odd prime), with center \mathbb{F}_q . If $q \ge 4n^2 - 8n + 2$ ($p > 2n^2 - (4 - 2\sqrt{3})n + (3 - 2\sqrt{3})$), then S is either a field or a RTCS of Dickson type.

(日)(1)

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) in $\Sigma := PG(rn - 1, q) \ (2 \le r)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) in $\Sigma := PG(rn - 1, q) \ (2 \le r)$ $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{D}$ a Desarguesian (n - 1)-spread of Σ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) in $\Sigma := PG(rn - 1, q)$ $(2 \le r)$

• \mathcal{D} a Desarguesian (n-1)-spread of Σ

Let

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} U\subset \Sigma \ ,\ {\sf dim}(U)=n-1 \ W\subset \Sigma \ ,\ {\sf dim}(W)=rn-n-1 \end{array}
ight.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) in $\Sigma := PG(rn - 1, q) \ (2 \le r)$ $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{D}$ a Desarguesian (n - 1)-spread of Σ \blacktriangleright Let $\begin{cases} U \subset \Sigma , \dim(U) = n - 1 \\ W \subset \Sigma , \dim(W) = rn - n - 1 \end{cases}$

such that no element of ${\mathcal D}$ intersects both U and W

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{BEL-configuration} \ (\mathcal{D}, U, W) \ \text{in } \Sigma := \mathrm{PG}(rn-1, q) \ (2 \leq r) \\ \blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{D} \ \text{a Desarguesian} \ (n-1) \text{-spread of } \Sigma \\ \blacktriangleright \ \mathrm{Let} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U \subset \Sigma \ \text{, } \dim(U) = n-1 \\ W \subset \Sigma \ \text{, } \dim(W) = rn-n-1 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$

such that no element of $\mathcal D$ intersects both U and W

Theorem (Ball-Ebert-ML 2007)

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) gives rise to a finite semifield $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{D}, U, W)$ of order q^n whose center contains \mathbb{F}_q ,

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) in $\Sigma := PG(rn - 1, q)$ $(2 \le r)$

• \mathcal{D} a Desarguesian (n-1)-spread of Σ

$$\left(egin{array}{c} U\subset \Sigma \ , \ {\sf dim}(U)=n-1 \ W\subset \Sigma \ , \ {\sf dim}(W)=rn-n-1 \end{array}
ight.$$

such that no element of ${\mathcal D}$ intersects both ${\it U}$ and ${\it W}$

Theorem (Ball-Ebert-ML 2007)

BEL-configuration (\mathcal{D}, U, W) gives rise to a finite semifield $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{D}, U, W)$ of order q^n whose center contains \mathbb{F}_q , and conversely, every such semifield can be constructed in this way.

◆□▶◆□▶◆≧▶◆≧▶ ≧ りへぐ

• Embed $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := PG(rn + n - 1, q)$,

• Embed
$$\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := \operatorname{PG}(rn + n - 1, q)$$
,

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨー うへの

• Extend \mathcal{D} to $\Gamma (\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}})$,

- Embed $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := \operatorname{PG}(rn + n 1, q)$,
- **•** Extend \mathcal{D} to $\Gamma (\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}})$,
- Choose an *n*-space *A*, with $A \cap \Sigma = U$,

- Embed $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := PG(rn + n 1, q)$,
- **•** Extend \mathcal{D} to $\Gamma (\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}})$,
- Choose an *n*-space A, with $A \cap \Sigma = U$,
- Then B(A) induces a spread S in the quotient geometry $\Gamma/W \cong PG(2n-1, q)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Embed $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := \operatorname{PG}(rn + n 1, q)$,
- Extend \mathcal{D} to $\Gamma (\to \overline{\mathcal{D}})$,
- Choose an *n*-space *A*, with $A \cap \Sigma = U$,
- Then B(A) induces a spread S in the quotient geometry $\Gamma/W \cong PG(2n-1, q)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

▶ Apply André-Bruck-Bose (spread $S \rightarrow$ plane $\pi(S)$)

- Embed $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \Gamma := \operatorname{PG}(rn + n 1, q)$,
- Extend \mathcal{D} to $\Gamma (\to \overline{\mathcal{D}})$,
- Choose an *n*-space *A*, with $A \cap \Sigma = U$,
- Then B(A) induces a spread S in the quotient geometry $\Gamma/W \cong PG(2n-1, q)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Apply André-Bruck-Bose (spread $S \rightarrow$ plane $\pi(S)$)
- ▶ plane $\pi(S) \to \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{D}, U, W)$



If r =2, U and W have the same dimension:
 (D, U, W) → (D, W, U): switching

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

Idea from a paper by R. Liebler (1981):

"We have used [...] in hopes of making it clear that coordinates play no essential role in the study of class V planes. In fact, it is my view that coordinatization has played a role in the study of projective planes that is analogous to the role Artin [...] argues matrices have played in linear algebra."

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

• fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

• fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.

• contraction of fundamental tensor: for $v_i^{\lor} \in V_i^{\lor}$ define

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(u) := \mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(\mathbf{v}_i)(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_m) \in \bigotimes_{j \in I, j \neq i} V_j.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

- fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.
- contraction of fundamental tensor: for $v_i^{\lor} \in V_i^{\lor}$ define

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(u) := \mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(\mathbf{v}_i)(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_m) \in \bigotimes_{j \in I, j \neq i} V_j.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

extend this definition to contraction of a tensor

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

- fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.
- contraction of fundamental tensor: for $v_i^{\lor} \in V_i^{\lor}$ define

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(u) := \mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(\mathbf{v}_i)(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_m) \in \bigotimes_{j \in I, j \neq i} V_j.$$

- extend this definition to contraction of a tensor
- \blacktriangleright a nonzero vector of V_i is nonsingular

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

- fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.
- contraction of fundamental tensor: for $v_i^{\lor} \in V_i^{\lor}$ define

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(u) := \mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(\mathbf{v}_i)(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_m) \in \bigotimes_{j \in I, j \neq i} V_j.$$

- extend this definition to contraction of a tensor
- \blacktriangleright a nonzero vector of V_i is nonsingular
- ▶ $v \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ is nonsingular if for $i \in I$ and every $v_i^{\vee} \in V_i^{\vee}$, the contraction $v_i^{\vee}(v)$ is nonsingular.

(日)((1))

Consider $\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ $(I = \{1, ..., m\}, m \ge 2)$, with dim $V_i = n_i$, and let V_i^{\vee} denote the dual of V_i .

- fundamental tensors: $v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_r$, $v_i \in V_i$.
- contraction of fundamental tensor: for $v_i^{\lor} \in V_i^{\lor}$ define

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(u) := \mathbf{v}_i^{\vee}(\mathbf{v}_i)(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{v}_m) \in \bigotimes_{j \in I, j \neq i} V_j.$$

- extend this definition to contraction of a tensor
- \blacktriangleright a nonzero vector of V_i is nonsingular
- ▶ $v \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} V_i$ is nonsingular if for $i \in I$ and every $v_i^{\vee} \in V_i^{\vee}$, the contraction $v_i^{\vee}(v)$ is nonsingular.
- the rank of a tensor T is the minimum number of fundamentals needed to generate T

Semifield $(\mathbb{S}, \circ) \to T_{\mathbb{S}} \in V^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes V$ with $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$



Semifield $(\mathbb{S}, \circ) \to T_{\mathbb{S}} \in V^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes V$ with $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Semifield $(\mathbb{S}, \circ) \to T_{\mathbb{S}} \in V^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes V$ with $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$



 $T_{\mathbb{S}} = \varphi^{-1}(h_{\mathbb{S}})$, where φ is defined by

 $\varphi: V^{\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes V \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V \otimes V, V)$

 $(v_1 \otimes v_2)(u^{\vee} \otimes v^{\vee} \otimes w)^{\varphi} := u^{\vee}(v_1)v^{\vee}(v_2)w.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

For convience, denote $V_1 = V_2 = V^{\vee}$ and $V_3 = V$

For convience, denote $V_1 = V_2 = V^{\vee}$ and $V_3 = V$

Theorem

(i) The tensor $T_{\mathbb{S}} \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ is nonsingular. (ii) To every nonsingular tensor $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ there corresponds a presemifield \mathbb{S} for which $T = T_{\mathbb{S}}$. (iii) The map $\mathbb{S} \mapsto T_{\mathbb{S}}$ is injective.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For convience, denote $V_1 = V_2 = V^{\vee}$ and $V_3 = V$

Theorem

(i) The tensor $T_{\mathbb{S}} \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ is nonsingular. (ii) To every nonsingular tensor $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ there corresponds a presemifield \mathbb{S} for which $T = T_{\mathbb{S}}$. (iii) The map $\mathbb{S} \mapsto T_{\mathbb{S}}$ is injective.

(Knuth 1965) With bases of $V_i \rightarrow cube$ of structure constants a_{ijk}

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



In projective space

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

In projective space

Theorem

(i) $[\mathbb{S}_1] = [\mathbb{S}_2] \iff p_{\mathbb{S}_1}^{\mathcal{G}} = p_{\mathbb{S}_2}^{\mathcal{G}}$, where \mathcal{G} is the collineation group that fixes the three families of maximal subspaces of the Segre variety $S_{n,n,n}(q)$;

In projective space

Theorem

(i) $[\mathbb{S}_1] = [\mathbb{S}_2] \iff p_{\mathbb{S}_1}^{\mathcal{G}} = p_{\mathbb{S}_2}^{\mathcal{G}}$, where \mathcal{G} is the collineation group that fixes the three families of maximal subspaces of the Segre variety $S_{n,n,n}(q)$; (ii) $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_1) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_2) \iff p_{\mathbb{S}_1}^{\mathcal{H}} = p_{\mathbb{S}_2}^{\mathcal{H}}$, where \mathcal{H} is the stabiliser of the Segre variety $S_{n,n,n}(q)$.

The tensor rank $\mathrm{trk}(\mathbb{S})$ of a semifield \mathbb{S}

The tensor rank trk(S) of a semifield S



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @
The tensor rank trk(S) of a semifield S

- The tensor rank of \mathbb{S} is the rank of $T_{\mathbb{S}}$
- Geometrically: $\operatorname{trk}(\mathbb{S}) := \operatorname{minimum}$ number of points $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S_{n,n,n}(q)$ such that $p_{\mathbb{S}} \subset \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

The tensor rank trk(S) of a semifield S

- ► The tensor rank of S is the rank of T_S
- Geometrically: trk(S) := minimum number of points $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S_{n,n,n}(q)$ such that $p_S \subset \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle$.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

▶ The trk(S) is an invariant of the isotopism class

Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

 The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)
- The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]
- The tensor approach gives us a nice representation of the Knuth orbit, which we didn't see before.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)
- The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]
- The tensor approach gives us a nice representation of the Knuth orbit, which we didn't see before.
- We can construct the 6 subspaces skew from the secant variety Ω starting from a nonsingular tensor point.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)
- The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]
- The tensor approach gives us a nice representation of the Knuth orbit, which we didn't see before.
- We can construct the 6 subspaces skew from the secant variety Ω starting from a nonsingular tensor point.
- ► The properties of a nonsingular tensor gives us a geometric characterisation of the points of PG(n³ − 1, q) that correspond to semifields.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)
- The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]
- The tensor approach gives us a nice representation of the Knuth orbit, which we didn't see before.
- We can construct the 6 subspaces skew from the secant variety Ω starting from a nonsingular tensor point.
- ► The properties of a nonsingular tensor gives us a geometric characterisation of the points of PG(n³ − 1, q) that correspond to semifields.
- The tensor rank of a semifield is a measure for the complexity of the semifield multiplication.

- Geometric approach has contributed a lot in semifield theory.
- Still lot's of interesting questions unsolved (RTCS, symplectic, switching, ...)
- The power of this coordinate-free representation is demonstrated in [Liebler1981]
- The tensor approach gives us a nice representation of the Knuth orbit, which we didn't see before.
- We can construct the 6 subspaces skew from the secant variety Ω starting from a nonsingular tensor point.
- ► The properties of a nonsingular tensor gives us a geometric characterisation of the points of PG(n³ − 1, q) that correspond to semifields.
- The tensor rank of a semifield is a measure for the complexity of the semifield multiplication.
- Thank you for your attention!