Semifields from skew polynomial rings

Michel Lavrauw

Università di Padova

Diamant Symposium Heeze, May 26-27, 2011

(joint work with John Sheekey)

Research supported by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A finite semifield ${\mathbb S}$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(S1) $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a finite group

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

(S1) (S, +) is a finite group
(S2) Left and right distributive laws hold

$$\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{S} : x \circ (y+z) = x \circ y + x \circ z$$

$$\flat \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{S} : (x+y) \circ z = x \circ z + y \circ z$$

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

(S1) $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a finite group (S2) Left and right distributive laws hold (S3) (\mathbb{S}, \circ) has no zero-divisors $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} : x \circ y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (S1) (S, +) is a finite group
- (S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
- (S3) (\mathbb{S}, \circ) has no zero-divisors
- (S4) (\mathbb{S}, \circ) has a unit
 - $\blacksquare \exists u \in \mathbb{S}, \forall x \in \mathbb{S} : x \circ u = u \circ x = x,$

A finite semifield $\mathbb S$ is a finite division algebra, which is not necessarily associative $% (\mathbb S,+,\circ)$ satisfying the following axioms:

(S1) (S, +) is a finite group
(S2) Left and right distributive laws hold
(S3) (S, ○) has no zero-divisors
(S4) (S, ○) has a unit

```
(without (S4) \rightarrow pre-semifield)
```

• A finite field is a finite semifield.

- A finite field is a finite semifield.
- Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : \left(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{array} \right.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} . \mathbb{S}_D is commutative, but not associative.

- A finite field is a finite semifield.
- Proper example of odd order q^{2k} (L. E. Dickson 1906)

$$\mathbb{S}_D : \left(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^2, +, \circ\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (x, y) + (u, v) &= (x + u, y + v) \\ (x, y) \circ (u, v) &= (xu + \alpha y^q v^q, xv + yu) \end{array} \right.$$

where α is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} . \mathbb{S}_D is commutative, but not associative.

Generalized twisted fields (A. A. Albert 1961)

$$S_{GT} : (\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, +, \circ) \text{ with } x \circ y = xy - \eta x^{\alpha} y^{\beta},$$

$$\alpha, \beta \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), \text{ Fix}(\alpha) = \text{Fix}(\beta) = \mathbb{F}_q, \text{ where}$$

$$\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \{x^{\alpha-1} y^{\beta-1} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$$

 Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"

► Knuth (1965):

- Dickson (1906): "Linear algebras in which division is always uniquely possible"
- Dickson (1935): "Linear algebras in which associativity is not assumed"
- Albert (1952): "On non-associative division algebras"
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960): "Semi-nuclear extensions of Galois fields"
- Knuth (1965): "We are concerned with a certain type of algebraic system, called a semifield. Such a system has several names in the literature, where it is called, for example, a "nonassociative division ring" or a "distributive quasifield".
 Since these terms are rather lengthy, and since we make frequent reference to such systems in this paper, the more convenient name semifield will be used."

Since 1965, people have been using the name semifields.

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's) → semifield planes

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ▶ Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's)
 → semifield planes
- Spreads

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

- ▶ Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's)
 → semifield planes
- Spreads
- Ovoids of generalized quadrangles

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

- Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's)

 → semifield planes
- Spreads
- Ovoids of generalized quadrangles
- Translation generalized quadrangles

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

- Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's)

 → semifield planes
- Spreads
- Ovoids of generalized quadrangles
- Translation generalized quadrangles
- Blocking sets

[ML - O. Polverino: Finite semifields. Chapter 6 in *Current research topics in Galois Geometry* Nova Academic Publishers (Editors J. De Beule and L. Storme)]

- ► Coordinatisation of projective planes (PTR's) → semifield planes
- Spreads
- Ovoids of generalized quadrangles
- Translation generalized quadrangles
- Blocking sets
- ▶ ...

Types of translation planes and their PTR's



▲ロ > ▲母 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ④ < ④ >

Types of translation planes and their PTR's



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

[Hughes - Piper, Projective Planes, Springer, 1973]

Types of finite translation planes



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

[Hughes - Piper, Projective Planes, Springer, 1973]

 $\mathsf{Isotopism}\ \mathsf{classes}\ \leftrightarrow\ \mathsf{Isomorphism}\ \mathsf{classes}$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

Isotopism classes \leftrightarrow Isomorphism classes

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\mathsf{Isotopism}\ \mathsf{classes}\ \leftrightarrow\ \mathsf{Isomorphism}\ \mathsf{classes}$

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^F \circ' b^G = (a \circ b)^H$$

 $\mathsf{Isotopism}\ \mathsf{classes}\ \leftrightarrow\ \mathsf{Isomorphism}\ \mathsf{classes}$

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^{\mathsf{F}} \circ' b^{\mathsf{G}} = (a \circ b)^{\mathsf{H}}$$

▶ If such an isotopism exists, then S and S' are called isotopic.

Isotopism classes \leftrightarrow Isomorphism classes

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two semifield planes are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding semifields are isotopic.

An isotopism from (S, ∘) to (S', ∘') is a triple (F, G, H) of bijections from S to S', linear over the characteristic field of S, such that

$$a^{\mathsf{F}} \circ' b^{\mathsf{G}} = (a \circ b)^{\mathsf{H}}$$

- ▶ If such an isotopism exists, then S and S' are called isotopic.
- Semifield $\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \text{isotopism class } [\mathbb{S}]$

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$

▶ Permuting the indices of the a_{ijk} gives six semifields (Knuth 1965) ⇒ six semifields S₁,...,S₆

If {e₁,..., e_n} is a basis for S over the center Z(S), then the structure constants a_{ijk} are given by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijk} e_k$$

- ▶ Permuting the indices of the a_{ijk} gives six semifields (Knuth 1965) ⇒ six semifields S₁,...,S₆
- Knuth orbit:= $\{[\mathbb{S}_1], \ldots, [\mathbb{S}_6]\}$
The Knuthorbit of a semifield $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$



Figure: The nuclei are denoted by I, m, r

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト の Q @

Nuclei

The left nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

Nuclei

The left nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

The middle nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}) := \{ y : y \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, z \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

The right nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}) := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$$

Nuclei

The left nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) := \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S} \mid \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}) \circ \mathbf{z}, \ \forall \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

The middle nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}) := \{ y : y \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, z \in \mathbb{S} \},\$$

The right nucleus

$$\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}) := \{ z : z \in \mathbb{S} \mid x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$$

The center

 $Z(\mathbb{S}) := \{ c : c \in \mathbb{N}_{l}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(\mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{r}(\mathbb{S}) \mid x \circ c = c \circ x, \forall x \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

< ㅁ > < 큔 > < 흔 > < 흔 > . 흔 . 아이(?)

 Construction by Jha and Johnson (1989) using an irreducible semilinear map.

- Construction by Jha and Johnson (1989) using an irreducible semilinear map.
- ▶ Let $T \in \Gamma L(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d)$ be irreducible and for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d$ define

$$y \circ x := y \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^i x_i \right), \text{ where } x = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Then this defines a semifield \mathbb{S}_T of size q^{nd} .

- Construction by Jha and Johnson (1989) using an irreducible semilinear map.
- ▶ Let $T \in \Gamma L(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d)$ be irreducible and for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d$ define

$$y \circ x := y \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^i x_i \right)$$
, where $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1})$.

Then this defines a semifield \mathbb{S}_T of size q^{nd} .

 This construction has been generalized by Johnson - Marino -Polverino - Trombetti (2008)

- Construction by Jha and Johnson (1989) using an irreducible semilinear map.
- ▶ Let $T \in \Gamma L(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d)$ be irreducible and for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d$ define

$$y \circ x := y \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^i x_i \right), \text{ where } x = (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}).$$

Then this defines a semifield \mathbb{S}_T of size q^{nd} .

- This construction has been generalized by Johnson Marino -Polverino - Trombetti (2008)
- Kantor Liebler (2008): The number of isotopism classes of semifields S_T obtained from this construction is at most q^d − 1.
- Improved by Dempwolff (2011): N(q, d)

In this talk

- 1~ Determine the nuclei of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$
- 2 Prove and improve the upper bound for the number of isotopism classes

Method: Skew polynomial rings

For $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F})$, the skew polynomial ring $R := \mathbb{F}[t, \sigma]$ is the set $\{a_0 + a_1t + \ldots + a_rt^r : a_i \in \mathbb{F}, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$

with termwise addition and multiplication defined by

$$t^i a = a^{\sigma^i} t, \ \forall a \in \mathbb{F}$$

[1933] Oystein Ore, Theory of Non-Commutative Polynomials

Properties:

Properties:

 multiplication is associative, distributive, but not commutative,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Properties:

 multiplication is associative, distributive, but not commutative,

- left- and right-Euclidean,
 - \Rightarrow left- and right-principal ideal domain,

Properties:

 multiplication is associative, distributive, but not commutative,

- left- and right-Euclidean,
 - \Rightarrow left- and right-principal ideal domain,
- NOT unique factorisation domain,

Properties:

 multiplication is associative, distributive, but not commutative,

- left- and right-Euclidean,
 - \Rightarrow left- and right-principal ideal domain,
- NOT unique factorisation domain,
- ▶ link with linearised polynomials (multiplication ↔ composition),

Properties:

- multiplication is associative, distributive, but not commutative,
- ▶ left- and right-Euclidean,
 ⇒ left- and right-principal ideal domain,
- NOT unique factorisation domain,
- ▶ link with linearised polynomials (multiplication ↔ composition),

Conventions:

1. we work with right divisors, unless otherwise stated,

2. the left ideal R.f is denoted by $\langle f \rangle$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and σ : $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$: $a \mapsto a^q$

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and σ : $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$: $a \mapsto a^q$ $\triangleright Z :=$ the centre of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[t^n,\sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and σ : $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$: $a \mapsto a^q$
 - Z := the centre of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[t^n,\sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$
 - ▶ the two sided ideals in *R* are $\langle f \cdot t^s \rangle$ where $f \in Z$

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and σ : $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$: $a \mapsto a^q$

- Z := the centre of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[t^n,\sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$
- ▶ the two sided ideals in *R* are $\langle f \cdot t^s \rangle$ where $f \in Z$
- a polynomial f ∈ R is called irreducible if f cannot be written as f = gh with deg(h) < deg(f) and deg(g) < deg(f)</p>

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and σ : $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$: $a \mapsto a^q$

- Z := the centre of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$ is $\mathbb{F}_q[t^n,\sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$
- ▶ the two sided ideals in *R* are $\langle f \cdot t^s \rangle$ where $f \in Z$
- a polynomial f ∈ R is called irreducible if f cannot be written as f = gh with deg(h) < deg(f) and deg(g) < deg(f)</p>
- ▶ given f and g, the concepts of least common left multiple (*lclm*(f,g)) and greatest common right divisor (gcrd(f,g)) are well defined.

Let f be an irreducible polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$, and define a multiplication \circ on the set of polynomials of degree less than d by

 $x \circ y := xy \mod f$

Let f be an irreducible polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$, and define a multiplication \circ on the set of polynomials of degree less than d by

 $x \circ y := xy \mod f$

Theorem

The multiplication \circ defines a semifield $\mathbb{S}_f := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]/\langle f \rangle$

[1932] Oystein Ore, Formale Theorie der linearen Differentialgleichungen II[1934] Nathan Jacobson, Non-Commutative Polynomials and Cyclic Algebras

Proof. (S3) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{S}_f$ and suppose $x \circ y = 0$ in \mathbb{S}_f . This means $\exists h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$, s.t. xy = hf in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Proof. (S3) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{S}_f$ and suppose $x \circ y = 0$ in \mathbb{S}_f . This means $\exists h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$, s.t. xy = hf in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$.

Theorem (Ore 1933)

If $f \in \mathbb{F}[t,\sigma]$ factors completely as

$$f=f_1f_2\ldots f_k=g_1g_2\ldots g_l,$$

where f_i and g_i are irreducible, then k = l and there exists a permutation φ , s.t. deg $f_i = \deg g_{\varphi(i)}$.

Proof. (S3) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{S}_f$ and suppose $x \circ y = 0$ in \mathbb{S}_f . This means $\exists h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$, s.t. xy = hf in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$. Theorem (Ore 1933)

If $f \in \mathbb{F}[t,\sigma]$ factors completely as

 $f=f_1f_2\ldots f_k=g_1g_2\ldots g_l,$

where f_i and g_i are irreducible, then k = l and there exists a permutation φ , s.t. deg $f_i = \deg g_{\varphi(i)}$.

Proof continued xy = hf in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t, \sigma]$ Since f is irreducible of degree d, there must be a factor of x or of y that has degree d. Since both x and y have degree less than d, it follows that x or y must be 0. Theorem

Each \mathbb{S}_T is isotopic to some \mathbb{S}_f .

Theorem

Each \mathbb{S}_T is isotopic to some \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof: Consider a basis $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^{d-1}v$, and suppose that

$$T^d v = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_i T^i v.$$

Define a $\phi \in \operatorname{GL}(n,q^n)$: $\phi(t^i) := T^i$, then

$$T\phi = \phi L_{t,f},$$

where $L_{t,f}$ is left multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f by t with

$$f(t)=t^d-\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}f_it^i.$$

Theorem

Each \mathbb{S}_T is isotopic to some \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof: Consider a basis $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^{d-1}v$, and suppose that

$$T^d v = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_i T^i v.$$

Define a $\phi \in \operatorname{GL}(n,q^n)$: $\phi(t^i) := T^i$, then

$$T\phi = \phi L_{t,f},$$

where $L_{t,f}$ is left multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f by t with

$$f(t)=t^d-\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}f_it^i.$$

Kantor - Liebler: conjugate semilinear transformations define isotopic semifields.

The nuclei of \mathbb{S}_f

Theorem If f is irreducible of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$, then

 $(\#\mathbb{S}_f, \#\mathbb{N}_I(\mathbb{S}_f), \#\mathbb{N}_m(\mathbb{S}_f), \#\mathbb{N}_r(\mathbb{S}_f), \#Z(\mathbb{S}_f)) = (q^{nd}, q^n, q^n, q^d, q)$

Proof: If ab = uf + v and bc = wf + z, then

$$(ab)c = a(bc) \iff ufc + vc = awf + az,$$

and hence

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \iff vc = az \mod f$$

 $\iff vc = ufc + vc \mod f \iff ufc \mod f = 0.$
 $\mathbb{N}_{I}(\mathbb{S}_{f}) = \mathbb{N}_{m}(\mathbb{S}_{f}) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}, \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_{r}(\mathbb{S}_{f}) = E(f) \text{ eigenring of } f$

Counting isotopism classes

Theorem (Odoni 1999)

The number of monic irreducibles of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$ is equal to

$$N(q,d)rac{q^{nd}-1}{q^d-1},$$

where N(q, d) is the number of monic irreducibles of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, i.e.,

$$N(q,d) = rac{1}{d} \sum_{s|d} \mu(s) q^{d/s}.$$

<ロ> <回> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回</p>

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof. We show that

$$x \circ_f y^{R_u} = (x \circ_g y)^{R_u}.$$
Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof.

We show that

$$x \circ_f y^{R_u} = (x \circ_g y)^{R_u}.$$

 $\mathsf{LHS} = x \circ_f (y \circ_f u) = x \circ_f (yu - af), \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{R}$

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof.

We show that

$$x \circ_f y^{R_u} = (x \circ_g y)^{R_u}.$$

 $LHS = x \circ_f (y \circ_f u) = x \circ_f (yu - af), \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{R}$ $= x(yu - af) \mod f = xyu - xaf \mod f = xyu \mod f.$

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof.

We show that

$$x \circ_f y^{R_u} = (x \circ_g y)^{R_u}.$$

 $LHS = x \circ_f (y \circ_f u) = x \circ_f (yu - af), \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{R}$ $= x(yu - af) \mod f = xyu - xaf \mod f = xyu \mod f.$

$$\mathsf{RHS} = (x \circ_g y) \circ_f u = (xy - bg) \circ_f u$$
, for some $b \in \mathcal{R}$

Put $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[t,\sigma]$

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d and $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$. An isotopism is given by (id, R_u, R_u) , where R_u is right multiplication in \mathbb{S}_f .

Proof.

We show that

$$x \circ_f y^{R_u} = (x \circ_g y)^{R_u}.$$

 $LHS = x \circ_f (y \circ_f u) = x \circ_f (yu - af), \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{R}$ $= x(yu - af) \mod f = xyu - xaf \mod f = xyu \mod f.$

$$\mathsf{RHS} = (x \circ_g y) \circ_f u = (xy - bg) \circ_f u, \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{R}$$
$$= (xy - bg)u \mod f = xyu - bgu \mod f = xyu \mod f.$$

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Properties:

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Properties:

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

Properties:

Moreover if $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible of degree *d*:

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

Properties:

▶ Since $Z(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{F}_q[t^n, \sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ it follows that $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, for some $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Moreover if $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible of degree d:

mzlm(f) exists, is unique and has degree nd

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

Properties:

▶ Since $Z(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{F}_q[t^n, \sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ it follows that $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, for some $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Moreover if $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible of degree d:

- mzlm(f) exists, is unique and has degree nd
- if $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, then F is irreducible of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$

Define the minimal central left multiple of $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (mzlm(f) as the monic $g \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ of minimal degree s.t. f|g.

Properties:

▶ Since $Z(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{F}_q[t^n, \sigma] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ it follows that $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, for some $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Moreover if $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible of degree d:

- mzlm(f) exists, is unique and has degree nd
- if $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, then F is irreducible of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$

If F is irreducible of degree d in 𝔽_q[X], then any irreducible divisor of F(tⁿ) in 𝔅 has degree d

<ロ> <回> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回> <回</p>

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d then the following are equivalent (i) $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf(ii) mzlm(f) = mzlm(g).

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Lemma

If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible of degree d then the following are equivalent (i) $\exists u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ of degree < d, s.t. gu = vf(ii) mzlm(f) = mzlm(g).

Corollary

(i) If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ are irreducible and mzlm(f) = mzlm(g), then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_g]$ (ii) The number of isotopism classes of semifields \mathbb{S}_f with $f \in \mathcal{R}$ irreducible of degree d is at most N(q, d) (This was also proved by Dempwolff (2011))

More isotopisms

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

More isotopisms

Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and consider the map $\psi_a : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} : f(t) \mapsto f(at)$

More isotopisms

Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and consider the map $\psi_a : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} : f(t) \mapsto f(at)$ Lemma (i) The map ψ_a defines an isomorphism of \mathcal{R} . (ii) If $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible, then $[\mathbb{S}_f] = [\mathbb{S}_{f^{\psi_a}}]$. (iii) If $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is irreducible and $mzlm(f) = F(t^n)$, then

$$mzlm(f^{\psi_a}) = \frac{1}{N(a)^d}F(N(a)t^n),$$

where N is the norm from \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to \mathbb{F}_q .

Bound on the number of isotopism classes of semifields \mathbb{S}_f

Define the equiv. relation: $F \sim G \Leftrightarrow \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q : F(X) = G(\lambda X)$. Put M(d,q) := # equivalence classes of \sim on the set of irreducibles of $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree d.

Theorem

The number of isotopism classes of semifields S_f with $f \in \mathcal{R}$ irreducible of degree d is at most M(q, d).

(If q is prime and (q-1,d)=1, $M(q,d)=rac{N(q,d)}{q-1}$)

▶ Is this bound sharp? Computer data: YES in small cases.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

- ▶ Is this bound sharp? Computer data: YES in small cases.
- Can this method be used to count isotopism classes for the generalised cyclic semifields? [JMPT]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ▶ Is this bound sharp? Computer data: YES in small cases.
- Can this method be used to count isotopism classes for the generalised cyclic semifields? [JMPT]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Or can this method be generalised in another direction?

- ▶ Is this bound sharp? Computer data: YES in small cases.
- Can this method be used to count isotopism classes for the generalised cyclic semifields? [JMPT]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Or can this method be generalised in another direction?

Thank you for your attention!