

# An empty interval in the spectrum of small weight codewords in the code from points and $k$ -spaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$

M. Lavrauw    L. Storme    P. Sziklai\*    G. Van de Voorde †

July 9, 2008

## Abstract

Let  $C_k(n, q)$  be the  $p$ -ary linear code defined by the incidence matrix of points and  $k$ -spaces in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ . In this paper, we show that there are no codewords of weight in  $] \frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}, 2q^k [$  in  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp$  which implies that there are no codewords with this weight in  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$  if  $k \geq n/2$ . In particular, for the code  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  of points and hyperplanes of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , we exclude all codewords in  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  with weight in  $] \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}, 2q^{n-1} [$ . This latter result implies a sharp bound on the weight of small weight codewords of  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$ , a result which was previously only known for general dimension for  $q$  prime and  $q = p^2$ , with  $p$  prime,  $p > 11$ , and in the case  $n = 2$ , for  $q = p^3$ ,  $p \geq 7$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional projective space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements, where  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ , and let  $V(n+1, q)$  denote the underlying vector space. Let  $\theta_n$  denote the number of points in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , i.e.,  $\theta_n = (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ .

We define the incidence matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of points and  $k$ -spaces in the projective space  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ , as the matrix whose rows are indexed by the  $k$ -spaces of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and whose columns are indexed by the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , and with entry

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if point } j \text{ belongs to } k\text{-space } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The  $p$ -ary linear code of points and  $k$ -spaces of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ , is the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -span of the rows of the incidence matrix  $A$ . We denote this code by  $C_k(n, q)$ . The *support* of a codeword  $c$ , denoted by  $\text{supp}(c)$ , is the set of all non-zero positions of  $c$ . The *weight* of  $c$  is the number of non-zero positions of

---

\*This author was partially supported by OTKA T-049662, T-067867 and Bolyai grants.

†This author's research was supported by the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-Vlaanderen).

$c$  and is denoted by  $wt(c)$ . Often we identify the support of a codeword with the corresponding set of points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . We let  $(c_1, c_2)$  denote the scalar product in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of two codewords  $c_1, c_2$  of  $C_k(n, q)$ . Furthermore, if  $T$  is a set of points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , then the incidence vector of this set is also denoted by  $T$ . The dual code  $C_k(n, q)^\perp$  is the set of all vectors orthogonal to all codewords of  $C_k(n, q)$ , hence

$$C_k(n, q)^\perp = \{v \in V(\theta_n, p) \mid (v, c) = 0, \forall c \in C_k(n, q)\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $c \in C_k(n, q)^\perp$  if and only if  $(c, K) = 0$  for all  $k$ -spaces  $K$  of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

In [4] and [5], we excluded codewords of small weight in  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$ , resp.  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$ , corresponding to linear small minimal blocking sets, which implied Result 1 and Result 2.

**Result 1.** [4] *The only possible codewords  $c$  of  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  of weight in  $] \theta_{n-1}, 2q^{n-1}[$  are the scalar multiples of non-linear minimal blocking sets, intersecting every line in 1 (mod  $p$ ) points.*

**Result 2.** [5] *For  $k \geq n/2$ , the only possible codewords  $c$  of  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$  of weight in  $] \theta_k, 2q^k[$  are scalar multiples of non-linear minimal  $k$ -blocking sets of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , intersecting every line in 1 (mod  $p$ ) or zero points.*

**Remark 3.** *It is believed (and conjectured, see [7]) that all small minimal blocking sets are linear. If that conjecture is true, then Result 1 eliminates all possible codewords of  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  of weight in  $] \theta_{n-1}, 2q^{n-1}[$ , and Result 2 eliminates all codewords of  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$  of weight in  $] \theta_k, 2q^k[$  if  $k \geq n/2$ .*

In this article, we improve on Result 1 and Result 2 by showing that there are no codewords in  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p > 5$ ,  $h \geq 1$ , in the interval  $] \theta_k, 2q^k[$ , which implies that there are no codewords in the interval  $] \theta_k, 2q^k[$  in  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$  if  $k \geq n/2$ . Using the results of [5], we show that there are no codewords in  $C_k(n, q)$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ ,  $p > 7$ , with weight in  $] \theta_k, (12\theta_k + 6)/7[$ .

In the case that  $k = n - 1$ , we show that there are no codewords in  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  in the interval  $] \theta_{n-1}, 2q^{n-1}[$ . This interval is sharp: codewords of minimum weight in  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$  have been characterized as scalar multiples of incidence vectors of hyperplanes (see [1, Proposition 5.7.3]), and codewords of weight  $2q^{n-1}$  can be obtained by taking the difference of the incidence vectors of two hyperplanes.

## 2 Blocking sets

A *blocking set* of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a set  $K$  of points such that each hyperplane of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  contains at least one point of  $K$ . A blocking set  $K$  is called *trivial* if it contains a line of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . These blocking sets are also called *1-blocking sets* in [2]. In general, a *k-blocking set*  $K$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a set of points such that any  $(n - k)$ -dimensional subspace intersects  $K$ . A *k-blocking set*  $K$  is called *trivial* if there is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace contained in  $K$ . If an  $(n - k)$ -dimensional space contains exactly one point of a  $k$ -blocking set  $K$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , it is called a *tangent  $(n - k)$ -space* to  $K$ , and a point  $P$  of  $K$  is called *essential* when it belongs

to a tangent  $(n - k)$ -space of  $K$ . A  $k$ -blocking set  $K$  is called *minimal* when no proper subset of  $K$  is also a  $k$ -blocking set, i.e., when each point of  $K$  is essential. A  $k$ -blocking set is called *small* if it contains less than  $3(q^k + 1)/2$  points.

In order to define a *linear*  $k$ -blocking set, we introduce the notion of a Desarguesian spread.

By field reduction, the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ , correspond to  $(h - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$ , since a point of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  is a 1-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and so an  $h$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . In this way, we obtain a partition  $\mathcal{D}$  of the point set of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$  by  $(h - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces. In general, a partition of the point set of a projective space by subspaces of a given dimension  $k$  is called a *spread*, or a  *$k$ -spread* if we want to specify the dimension. The spread we have obtained here is called a *Desarguesian spread*. Note that the Desarguesian spread satisfies the property that each subspace spanned by two spread elements is again partitioned by spread elements. In fact, it can be shown that if  $n \geq 2$ , this property characterises a Desarguesian spread [6].

**Definition 4.** *Let  $U$  be a subset of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$  and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a Desarguesian  $(h - 1)$ -spread of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(U) = \{R \in \mathcal{D} \mid U \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$ .*

Analogously to the correspondence between the points of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and the elements of a Desarguesian spread  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$ , we obtain the correspondence between the lines of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and the  $(2h - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$  spanned by two elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and in general, we obtain the correspondence between the  $(n - k)$ -spaces of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and the  $((n - k + 1)h - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\text{PG}((n + 1)h - 1, p)$  spanned by  $n - k + 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ . With this in mind, it is clear that any  $hk$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $\text{PG}(h(n + 1) - 1, p)$  defines a  $k$ -blocking set  $\mathcal{B}(U)$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . A blocking set constructed in this way is called a *linear  $k$ -blocking set*. Linear  $k$ -blocking sets were first introduced by Lunardon [6], although there a different approach is used. For more on the approach explained here, we refer to [3].

### 3 Results

In [8], Szőnyi and Weiner proved the following result on small blocking sets.

**Result 5.** [8, Theorem 2.7] *Let  $B$  be a minimal blocking set of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  with respect to  $k$ -dimensional subspaces,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p > 2$  prime,  $h \geq 1$ , and assume that  $|B| < 3(q^{n-k} + 1)/2$ . Then any subspace that intersects  $B$ , intersects it in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points.*

In [5], we proved the following lemmas.

**Result 6.** *The support of a codeword  $c \in C_k(n, q)$  with weight smaller than  $2q^k$ , for which  $(c, S) \neq 0$  for some  $(n - k)$ -space  $S$ , is a minimal  $k$ -blocking set in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . Moreover,  $c$  is a scalar multiple of a certain incidence vector, and  $\text{supp}(c)$  intersects every  $(n - k)$ -dimensional space in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points.*

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $c \in C_k(n, q)$ , then there exists a constant  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $(c, U) = a$ , for all subspaces  $U$  of dimension at least  $n - k$ .*

In the same way as the authors do in [5, Theorem 19], one can prove Lemma 8, which shows that all minimal  $k$ -blocking sets of size less than  $2q^k$  and intersecting every  $(n - k)$ -space in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points, are small.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $B$  be a minimal  $k$ -blocking set in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p > 5$ ,  $h \geq 1$ , intersecting every  $(n - k)$ -dimensional space in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points. If  $|B| \in ]\theta_k, 2q^k[$ , then*

$$|B| < \frac{3(q^k - q^k/p)}{2}.$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking sets in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . Then  $B_1 - B_2 \in C_k(n, q)^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Result 5 that  $(B_i, \pi_k) = 1$  for all  $k$ -spaces  $\pi_k$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $(B_1 - B_2, \pi_k) = 0$  for all  $k$ -spaces  $\pi_k$ . This implies that  $B_1 - B_2 \in C_k(n, q)^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $c$  be a codeword of  $C_k(n, q)$  with weight smaller than  $2q^k$ , for which  $(c, S) \neq 0$  for some  $(n - k)$ -space  $S$ , and let  $B$  be a small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking set. Then  $\text{supp}(c)$  intersects  $B$  in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points.*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a codeword of  $C_k(n, q)$  with weight smaller than  $2q^k$ , for which  $(c, S) \neq 0$  for some  $(n - k)$ -space  $S$ . Lemma 9 shows that  $(c, B_1 - B_2) = 0 = (c, B_1) - (c, B_2)$  for all small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Hence  $(c, B)$ , with  $B$  a small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking set, is a constant. Result 6 shows that  $c$  is a codeword only taking values from  $\{0, a\}$ , so  $(c, B) = a(\text{supp}(c), B)$ , hence  $(\text{supp}(c), B)$  is a constant too. Let  $B_1$  be an  $(n - k)$ -space, then Result 6 shows that  $(\text{supp}(c), B_1) = 1$ . Since  $B_1$  is a small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking set, the number of intersection points of  $\text{supp}(c)$  and  $B$  is equal to  $1 \pmod{p}$  for any small minimal blocking set  $B$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 7 that, for  $c \in C_k(n, q)$  and  $S$  an  $(n - k)$ -space,  $(c, S)$  is a constant. Hence, either  $(c, S) \neq 0$  for all  $(n - k)$ -spaces  $S$ , or  $(c, S) = 0$  for all  $(n - k)$ -spaces  $S$ . In this latter case,  $c \in C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp$ .

**Theorem 11.** *There are no codewords in  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp$  with weight in  $]\theta_k, 2q^k[$ ,  $q = p^h$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p > 5$ ,  $h \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a linear small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking set in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ . As explained in Section 2,  $Y$  corresponds to a set  $\bar{Y} = \mathcal{B}(\pi)$  of  $(h - 1)$ -dimensional spread elements intersecting a certain  $(h(n - k))$ -space  $\pi$  in  $\text{PG}(h(n + 1) - 1, p)$ . Let  $c$  be a codeword of  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp$  with weight at most  $2q^k - 1$ . Result 6 and Lemma 8 show that  $\text{supp}(c)$  is a small minimal  $k$ -blocking set  $B$ . This blocking set  $B$  corresponds to a set  $\bar{B}$  of  $|B|$  spread elements in  $\text{PG}(h(n + 1) - 1, p)$ . Since  $\text{supp}(c)$  and  $Y$  intersect in  $1 \pmod{p}$  points (see Lemma 10),  $\bar{B}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  intersect in  $1 \pmod{p}$  spread elements. Since all spread elements of  $\bar{Y}$  intersect  $\pi$ , there are  $1 \pmod{p}$  spread elements of  $\bar{B}$  that intersect  $\pi$ .

But this holds for any  $(h(n - k))$ -space  $\pi'$  in  $\text{PG}(h(n + 1) - 1, p)$ , since any  $(h(n - k))$ -space  $\pi'$  corresponds to a linear small minimal  $(n - k)$ -blocking set  $Y'$  in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ .

Let  $\tilde{B}$  be the set of points contained in the spread elements of the set  $\bar{B}$ . Since a spread element that intersects a subspace of  $\text{PG}(h(n + 1) - 1, p)$  intersects

it in 1 (mod  $p$ ) points,  $\tilde{B}$  intersects any  $(h(n-k))$ -space in 1 (mod  $p$ ) points. Moreover,  $|\tilde{B}| = |B| \cdot (p^h - 1)/(p - 1) \leq 3(p^{hk} - p^{hk-1}) \cdot (p^h - 1)/(2(p - 1)) < 3(p^{h(k+1)-1} + 1)/2$  (see Lemma 8). This implies that  $\tilde{B}$  is a small  $(h(k+1) - 1)$ -blocking set in  $\text{PG}(h(n+1) - 1, p)$ .

Moreover,  $\tilde{B}$  is minimal. This can be proven in the following way. Let  $R$  be a point of  $\tilde{B}$ . Since  $B$  is a minimal  $k$ -blocking set in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , there is a tangent  $(n-k)$ -space  $S$  through the point  $R'$  of  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  corresponding to the spread element  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ . Now  $S$  corresponds to an  $(h(n-k+1) - 1)$ -space  $\pi'$  in  $\text{PG}(h(n+1) - 1, p)$ , such that  $\mathcal{B}(R)$  is the only element of  $\tilde{B}$  in  $\pi'$ . This implies that through  $R$ , there is an  $(h(n-k))$ -space in  $\tilde{B}$  containing only the point  $R$  of  $\tilde{B}$ . This shows that through every point of  $\tilde{B}$ , there is a tangent  $(h(n-k))$ -space, hence that  $\tilde{B}$  is a minimal  $(h(k+1) - 1)$ -blocking set.

Result 5 implies that  $\tilde{B}$  intersects any subspace of  $\text{PG}(h(n+1) - 1, p)$  in zero or 1 (mod  $p$ ) points. This implies that a line is skew, tangent or entirely contained in  $\tilde{B}$ , hence  $\tilde{B}$  is a subspace of  $\text{PG}(h(n+1) - 1, p)$ , with at most  $3(p^{h(k+1)-1} + 1)/2$  points, intersecting every  $(h(n-k))$ -space. Moreover, it is the point set of a set of  $|B|$  spread elements. Hence,  $\tilde{B}$  is the set of spread elements corresponding to a  $k$ -space in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$ , so  $\text{supp}(c)$  has size  $\theta_k$ .  $\square$

In [5], we determined a lower bound on the weight of the code  $C_k(n, q)^\perp$ .

**Result 12.** *The minimum weight of  $C_k(n, q)^\perp$  is at least  $(12\theta_{n-k} + 2)/7$  if  $p = 7$ , and at least  $(12\theta_{n-k} + 6)/7$  if  $p > 7$ .*

**Theorem 13.** *There are no codewords in  $C_k(n, q)$  with weight in  $]\theta_k, (12\theta_k + 2)/7[$  if  $p = 7$  and there are no codewords in  $C_k(n, q)$  with weight in  $]\theta_k, (12\theta_k + 6)/7[$  if  $p > 7$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 11 and Result 12.  $\square$

In [5], we proved the following result.

**Result 14.** *Assume that  $k \geq n/2$ . A codeword  $c$  of  $C_k(n, q)$  is in  $C_k(n, q) \cap C_k(n, q)^\perp$  if and only if  $(c, U) = 0$  for all subspaces  $U$  with  $\dim(U) \geq n - k$ .*

**Corollary 15.** *If  $k \geq n/2$ ,  $C_k(n, q) \setminus C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp = C_k(n, q) \setminus C_k(n, q)^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Result 14 that  $C_k(n, q) \cap C_{n-k}(n, q)^\perp = C_k(n, q) \cap C_k(n, q)^\perp$  if  $k \geq n/2$ .  $\square$

In [4], we proved the following result.

**Result 16.** *The minimum weight of  $C_{n-1}(n, q) \cap C_{n-1}(n, q)^\perp$  is equal to  $2q^{n-1}$ .*

Theorem 11, Corollary 15, and Result 16 yield the following corollary, which gives a sharp empty interval on the size of small weight codewords of  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$ , since  $\theta_{n-1}$  is the weight of a codeword arising from the incidence vector of an  $(n-1)$ -space and  $2q^{n-1}$  is the weight of a codeword arising from the difference of the incidence vectors of two  $(n-1)$ -spaces.

**Corollary 17.** *There are no codewords with weight in  $]\theta_{n-1}, 2q^{n-1}[$  in the code  $C_{n-1}(n, q)$ .*

In the planar case, this yields the following corollary, which improves on the results in [1].

**Corollary 18.** *There are no codewords with weight in  $]q + 1, 2q[$  in the code of points and lines of  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ .*

In this case, the weight  $q + 1$  corresponds to the incidence vector of a line, and the weight  $2q$  can be obtained by taking the difference of the incidence vectors of two different lines.

## References

- [1] E.F. Assmus, Jr. and J.D. Key. Designs and their codes. *Cambridge University Press*, 1992.
- [2] A. Beutelspacher. Blocking sets and partial spreads in finite projective spaces. *Geom. Dedicata* **9** (1980), 130–157.
- [3] M. Lavrauw. Scattered spaces with respect to spreads, and eggs in finite projective spaces. PhD Dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, 2001. viii+115 pp.
- [4] M. Lavrauw, L. Storme and G. Van de Voorde. On the code generated by the incidence matrix of points and hyperplanes in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and its dual. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, to appear.
- [5] M. Lavrauw, L. Storme and G. Van de Voorde. On the code generated by the incidence matrix of points and  $k$ -spaces in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  and its dual. *Finite Fields Appl.*, to appear.
- [6] G. Lunardon. Normal spreads. *Geom. Dedicata* **75** (1999), 245–261.
- [7] P. Sziklai. On small blocking sets and their linearity. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, to appear.
- [8] T. Szőnyi and Zs. Weiner. Small blocking sets in higher dimensions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **95** (2001), no. 1, 88–101.

Address of the authors:

Michel Lavrauw, Leo Storme, Geertrui Van de Voorde:  
Department of pure mathematics and computer algebra,  
Ghent University  
Krijgslaan 281-S22  
9000 Ghent (Belgium)  
{ml,ls,gvdvoorde}@cage.ugent.be  
<http://cage.ugent.be/~{ml,ls,gvdvoorde}>

Peter Sziklai:  
Department of Computer Science,  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány P. sétány 1/C  
H-1117 Budapest (Hungary)  
sziklai@cs.elte.hu  
<http://www.cs.elte.hu/~sziklai>