Translation of ER to SQL

Iztok Savnik

FAMNIT

OPB, ER -> SQL

Literature

Toby Teorey, Sam Lightstone, Tom Nadeau, H.V. Jagadish, Database Modeling and Design: Logical Design, 5th Edition, Morgan Kaufmann Pub., Inc. (Elsevier), 2011. (Chapter 5)

Introduction

- Basic rules for translating ER diagrams to relations are given
 - Translating entities and relationships
 - Some integrity constraints can be used to implement features of ER model
 - NULL declarations, foreign keys, UNIQUE constraints, functional dependencies
- Not all features of ER model can be translated into SQL

Translation of entities

- SQL table with the same information contents as the original entity from which it was developed
- Translation is used for entities with binary relationship
 - N-N
 - 1-N on "one" side
 - 1-1 on both sides
- Entities that are linked with binary recursive relationship of type N-N
- Entities with ternary or, in general, n-ary relationship, or, with the generalization hierarchy

Dependent entities

- SQL table with nested foreign key of the parent entity
- Transformation is done for the entities with binary relationship of type
 - 1-N for the entity on the (child) side
 - 1-1 for one of the entities
 - For all entities with the binary recursive relationship of type 1-1 or 1-N
- This is one of two ways that the design tools treat relationships

Translation of relationships

- SQL table derived from a relationship
 - Contains foreign keys of all entities in relationship
- This translation is used for
 - Binary relationships of type N-N
 - Relationships that are recursive and N-N
 - Relationships that are ternary or higher order
- This is the second most common way that the design tools use to treat relationships in ER and in UML
 - Relationship of type N-N is always defined with a table that contains foreign keys of all entities in relationship
 - This table can include the attributes of the original ER relationship

The use of NULL values

- NULL values are allowed for the foreign keys that link optional entities
- NULL values are not allowed in SQL tables for foreign keys that link obligatory entities
- NULL values are not allowed for all foreign keys derived from a binary relationship of type N-N, and, any n-ray relationship where n>2
 - Only the complete rows (with all foreign keys) make sense

Binary relationships

- We will go through the cases of binary relationships based on cardinality of relationships
- How entities are translated? How to translate binary relationships? How to translate optional and mandatory relationships? Which SQL constructs are used?
- We have three types of relationships based on the type of the relationship: 1-1, 1-N in N-N
- Every role in relationship can be either optional or mandatory
- We will present Min-Max and Chen's cardinality notations !

Relationship 1-1 (2 x mandat.)



create table report (report_no integer, report_name varchar(256), primary key(report_no);

create table abbreviation (abbr_no char(6), report_no integer not null unique, primary key (abbr_no), foreign key (report_no) references report on delete cascade on update cascade);

OPB, ER -> SQL

Relationship 1-1 (1 x mandat.)



create table department (em (dept_no integer, em] dept_name char(20), mgr_id char(10) not null unique, primary key (dept_no), foreign key (mgr_id) references employee on delete set default on update cascade);

create table employee (emp_id char(10), emp_name char(20), primary key (emp_id));

Relationship 1-1 (2 x optional)



create table desktop (desktop_no integer, emp_id char(10), primary key (desktop_no), foreign key (emp_id) references engineer on delete set null on update cascade);

create table engineer (emp_id char(10), desktop_no integer, primary key (emp_id));

Relationship 1-N (2 x mandat.)



create table employee (emp_id char(10), emp_name char(20), dept_no integer not null, primary key (emp_id), foreign key (dept_no) references department on delete set default on update cascade);

create table department (dept_no integer, dept_name char(20), primary key (dept_no));

Relationship 1-N (1 x mandat.)



create table report (report_no integer, dept_no integer, primary key (report_no), foreign key (dept_no) references department on delete set null on update cascade);

create table department (dept_no integer, dept_name char(20), primary key (dept_no));

Relationship N-N (2 x optional)



create table engineer (emp_id char(10), primary key (emp_id));

create table belongs_to (emp_id char(10), assoc_name varchar(256), primary key (emp_id, assoc_name), foreign key (emp_id) references engineer on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (assoc_name) references prof_assoc on delete cascade on update cascade); OPB. ER -> SOL

Recursive relationship

- Binary relationship defined on a single entity
 - Is the set of pairs composed of primary (foreign) keys of the given entity
 - Enrolment of entities from the either side of the relationship can be optional or mandatory
- Two possibe translations into SQL
 - Set of pairs is stored in a separate SQL table
 - Set of pairs can be represented by an additional column of SQL table derived from the given entity

Recursive relationship 1-1 (2 x optional)



create table employee (emp_id char(10), emp_name char(20), spouse_id char(10), primary key (emp_id), foreign key (spouse_id) references employee on delete set null on update cascade);

Recursive relationship 1-N (1 x optional)



create table engineer (emp_id char(10), leader_id char(10) not null, primary key (emp_id), foreign key (leader_id) references engineer on delete set default on update cascade);

Recursive relationship N-N (2 x optional)

create table employee (emp_id char(10), emp_name char(20), primary key (emp_id));



create table coauthor (author_id char(10), coauthor_id char(10), primary key (author_id, coauthor_id), foreign key (author_id) references employee on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (coauthor_id) reference employee on delete cascade on update cascade);

Ternary relationships

- We will have a look at some examples of ternary relationship
- The constraints are important for the translation of ternary relationship
 - Some can be expressed: participation constraints
 - Some can not be expressed with SQL CREATE statement
 - Keys in ternary relationship are always NOT NULL
 - Keys must be updated and deleted



create table technician (
 emp_id char(10),
 primary key (emp_id));
create table project (
 project_name char(20),
 primary key (project_name));
create table notebook (
 notebook_no integer,
 primary key (notebook_no));

create table uses_notebook (emp_id char(10), project_name char(20), notebook_no integer not null, primary key (emp_id, project_name), foreign key (emp_id) references technician on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (project_name) references project on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (notebook_no) references notebook on delete cascade on update cascade, unique (emp_id, notebook_no), unique (project_name, notebook_no)); 20

Ternary relationship (1-1-N)

create table employee (
 emp_id char(10),
 emp_name char(20),
 primary key (emp_id));
create table project (
 project_name char(20),
 primary key (project_name));
create table location (
 loc_name char(15),
 primary key (loc_name));

(1-1-N) – one project, one location, more employees emp_id, loc_name → project_name emp_id, project_name → loc_name

OPB, ER -> SQL



Ternary relationship (N-N-N)

create table employee (
 emp_id char(10),
 emp_name char(20),
 primary key (emp_id));
create table skill (
 skill_type char(15),
 primary key (skill_type));
create table project (
 project_name char(20),
 primary key (project_name));

(N-N-N) – skills are arbitrarily linked to employes and projects



create table skill_used (emp_id char(10), skill_type char(15), project_name char(20), primary key (emp_id, skill_type, project_name), foreign key (emp_id) references employee on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (skill_type) references skill on delete cascade on update cascade, foreign key (project_name) references project on delete cascade on update cascade);

Generalization

- Translation of a generalization hierarchy composed of a root supertype and subtypes can result in more than one SQL tables
- A table derived from the root supertype contains
 - The key of the supertype entity, and
 - All additional attributes defined for the root supertype entity
- A table derived from the subtype entity contains
 - A key of the supertype
 - Only the attributes from the specific subtype entity

Generalization (2)

- Integrity after insert, update or delete operations is achieved by updating appropriate tables
 - In some cases more than one table has to be updated
 - Cascade behaviour must be used for the foreign keys
 - Updating the key of the root supertype requires the updates in the hierarchy of tables
 - Updating description attribute requires the change in one table only
- Transformation rules are the same for the case of intersecting as well as for the disjunctive subtypes

Generalization (3)

- The second approach is the definition of a single table that contains the attributes of a root entity as well as the subtype entities
 - Complete hierarchy is in one table
 - NULL values have to be used
- The third approach is the creation of one table for one subtype entity from the leafs of the hierarchy
 - Include all attributes from the entities on the path to the root entity
- There are advantages and disadvantages of each approach

Generalization (4)

- Design tools can implement all three cases
- Practical systems use classification attributes to distinguish among the subtype entities
 - If we have multi-level hierarchy then we use more classification attributes
 - The type hierarchy is implemented with the classification attributes
- The approaches can be combined

Example: Generalization hierarchy





- Overlap constraints: Can Joe be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? (Allowed/disallowed)
- Covering constraints: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? (Yes/no)
- Reasons for using ISA:
 - To add descriptive attributes specific to a subclass.
 - To identify entitities that participate in a relationship.

Translating ISA hierarchy

First approach

- 3 tables: Employes, Hourly_Emps, Contract_Emps
 - ON UPDATE DELETE CASCADE
 - Queries that access attributes of all employes are simple
 - Queries on specific employes are more complex

Third approach:

- 2 tables: Hourly_Emps, Contract_Emps
 - Updates are allways in a single table