SQL: Queries, Constraints, Triggers

Iztok Savnik, FAMNIT

Slides are based on

- Raghu Ramakrishnan, Johannes Gehrke, Database Management Systems, McGraw-Hill, 3rd ed., 2007.
- Slides from "Cow Book": R.Ramakrishnan, http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~dbbook/

Example Instance

- We will use these instances of the Sailors and **Reserves** relations in our examples.
- If the key for the **Reserves** relation contained only the attributes sid and *bid*, how would the semantics differ?

| | R1 | <u>sid</u> | bic | l | da | iy | |
|-----|-----|------------|--------|-----|----------|------|--|
| ces | | 22 | 101 | | 10/10/96 | | |
| | | 58 | 103 | | 11/12/96 | | |
| S1 | sid | snan | ıe | rat | ing | age | |
| | 22 | dusti | dustin | | 7 | 45.0 | |
| | 31 | lubber | | 8 | 3 | 55.5 | |
| | 58 | rusty | 7 | _ | 10 | 35.0 | |
| S2 | sid | sname | | rat | ting | age | |
| | 28 | yuppy | | 9 | | 35.0 | |
| | 31 | lubber | | 8 | | 55.5 | |
| | 44 | guppy | | 5 | | 35.0 | |
| | 58 | rusty | | - | 10 | 35.0 | |

Basic SQL Query

SELECT[DISTINCT] target-listFROMrelation-listWHEREqualification

- <u>relation-list</u> A list of relation names (possibly with a range-variable after each name).
- <u>target-list</u> A list of attributes of relations in *relation-list*
- <u>qualification</u> Comparisons (Attr op const or Attr1 op Attr2, where op is one of $\leq \leq \leq \leq \neq$) combined using AND, OR and NOT.
- DISTINCT is an optional keyword indicating that the answer should not contain duplicates. Default is that duplicates are <u>not</u> eliminated!

Conceptual Evaluation Strategy

- Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
 - Compute the cross-product of *relation-list*.
 - Discard resulting tuples if they fail *qualifications*.
 - Delete attributes that are not in target-list.
 - If DISTINCT is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find more efficient strategies to compute *the same answers*.

Example of Conceptual Evaluation

SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=103

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
|-------|--------|--------|------|-------|-----|------------|
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | 10/ 10/ 96 |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | 11/ 12/ 96 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | 10/ 10/ 96 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | 11/ 12/ 96 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | 10/ 10/ 96 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | 11/ 12/ 96 |

A Note on Range Variables

• Really needed only if the same relation appears twice in the FROM clause. The previous query can also be written as:

SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND bid=103

OR SELECT sname FROM Sailors, Reserves WHERE Sailors.sid=Reserves.sid AND bid=103 It is good style, however, to use range variables always! Find sailors who've reserved at least one boat

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid

- Would adding DISTINCT to this query make a difference?
- What is the effect of replacing *S.sid* by *S.sname* in the SELECT clause? Would adding DISTINCT to this variant of the query make a difference?

Expressions and Strings

SELECT S.age, age1=S.age-5, 2*S.age AS age2 FROM Sailors S WHERE S.sname LIKE 'B_%B'

- Illustrates use of arithmetic expressions and string pattern matching: *Find triples (of ages of sailors and two fields defined by expressions) for sailors whose names begin and end with B and contain at least three characters.*
- AS and = are two ways to name fields in result.
- LIKE is used for string matching. `_' stands for any one character and `%' stands for 0 or more arbitrary characters.

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red <u>or</u> a green boat

- UNION: Can be used to compute the union of any two union-compatible sets of tuples (which are themselves the result of SQL queries).
- If we replace OR by AND in the first version, what do we get?
- Also available: EXCEPT (What do we get if we replace UNION by EXCEPT?)

SELECT S.sid

FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND (B.color='red' OR B.color='green')

SELECT S.sid

FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red'

UNION

SELECT S.sid

FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R

WHERE S.sid=R.sid

AND R.bid=B.bid

AND B.color='green'

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat

- INTERSECT: Can be used to compute the intersection of any two unioncompatible sets of tuples.
- Included in the SQL/92 standard, but some systems don't support it.
- Contrast symmetry of the UNION and INTERSECT queries with how much the other versions differ.

SELECT S.sid

FROM Sailors S, Boats B1, Reserves R1, Boats B2, Reserves R2
WHERE S.sid=R1.sid AND R1.bid=B1.bid AND S.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid AND (B1.color='red' AND B2.color='green')

SELECT S.sid Key field! FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red' INTERSECT SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='green'

Nested Queries

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT R.sid FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=103)

- A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses.)
- To find sailors who've *not* reserved #103, use NOT IN.
- To understand semantics of nested queries, think of a <u>nested loops</u> evaluation: For each Sailors tuple, check the qualification by computing the subquery.

Nested Queries with Correlation

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE EXISTS (SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=103 AND <u>S.sid</u>=R.sid)

- EXISTS is another set comparison operator, like IN.
- If UNIQUE is used, and * is replaced by *R.bid*, finds sailors with at most one reservation for boat #103. (UNIQUE checks for duplicate tuples; * denotes all attributes. Why do we have to replace * by *R.bid*?)
- Illustrates why, in general, subquery must be recomputed for each Sailors tuple.

More on Set-Comparison Operators

- We've already seen IN, EXISTS and UNIQUE. Can also use NOT IN, NOT EXISTS and NOT UNIQUE.
- Also available: *op* ANY and *op* ALL (op $\in \{>, <, \leq, \geq, =, \neq\}$)
- Find sailors whose rating is greater than that of some sailor called Horatio:

```
SELECT *
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating > ANY (SELECT S2.rating
FROM Sailors S2
WHERE S2.sname='Horatio')
```

Rewriting INTERSECT Queries Using IN

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved both a red and a green boat:

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red' AND S.sid IN (SELECT S2.sid FROM Sailors S2, Boats B2, Reserves R2 WHERE S2.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid AND B2.color='green')

- Similarly, EXCEPT queries re-written using NOT IN.
- To find names (not sid's) of Sailors who've reserved both red and green boats, just replace S.sid by S.sname in SELECT clause. (What about INTERSECT query?)

Division in SQL

Find sailors who've reserved all boats.

• Let's do it the hard way, without EXCEPT:

SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE NOT EXISTS ((SELECT B.bid FROM Boats B) EXCEPT (SELECT R.bid FROM Reserves R WHERE R.sid=S.sid))

(2) SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid FROM Boats B WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.bid FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=B.bid AND R.sid=S.sid))

(1)

there is no boat B without ...

a Reserves tuple showing S reserved B

Aggregate Operators

• Significant extension of relational algebra.

```
COUNT (*)
COUNT ( [DISTINCT] A)
SUM ( [DISTINCT] A)
AVG ( [DISTINCT] A)
MAX (A)
MIN (A)
```

SELECT COUNT (*) FROM Sailors S

```
SELECT AVG (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating=10
```

```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating= (SELECT MAX(S2.rating)
FROM Sailors S2)
```

SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.sname='Bob'

SELECT AVG (DISTINCT S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.rating=10

single column

Find name and age of the oldest sailor(s)

- The first query is illegal! (We'll look into the reason a bit later, when we discuss GROUP BY.)
- The third query is equivalent to the second query, and is allowed in the SQL/92 standard, but is not supported in some systems.

SELECT S.sname, MAX (S.age) FROM Sailors S

SELECT S.sname, S.age FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age = (SELECT MAX (S2.age) FROM Sailors S2)

SELECT S.sname, S.age FROM Sailors S WHERE (SELECT MAX (S2.age) FROM Sailors S2) = S.age

Motivation for Grouping

- So far, we've applied aggregate operators to all (qualifying) tuples. Sometimes, we want to apply them to each of several *groups* of tuples.
- Consider: Find the age of the youngest sailor for each rating level.
 - In general, we don't know how many rating levels exist, and what the rating values for these levels are!
 - Suppose we know that rating values go from 1 to 10; we can write 10 queries that look like this (!):

```
For i = 1, 2, ..., 10:
```

SELECT MIN (S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.rating = *i*

Queries With GROUP BY and HAVING

SELECT[DISTINCT] target-listFROMrelation-listWHEREqualificationGROUP BYgrouping-listHAVINGgroup-qualification

- The *target-list* contains (i) attribute names (ii) terms with aggregate operations (e.g., MIN (*S.age*)).
 - The <u>attribute list (i)</u> must be a subset of *grouping-list*. Intuitively, each answer tuple corresponds to a *group*, and these attributes must have a single value per group. (A *group* is a set of tuples that have the same value for all attributes in *grouping-list*.)

Conceptual Evaluation

- The cross-product of *relation-list* is computed, tuples that fail *qualification* are discarded, `*unnecessary*' fields are deleted, and the remaining tuples are partitioned into groups by the value of attributes in *grouping-list*.
- The *group-qualification* is then applied to eliminate some groups. Expressions in *group-qualification* must have a <u>single value per group</u>!
 - In effect, an attribute in *group-qualification* that is not an argument of an aggregate op also appears in *grouping-list*. (SQL does not exploit primary key semantics here!)
- One answer tuple is generated per qualifying group.

Find the number of reservations for each red boat.

SELECT B.bid, COUNT (*) AS scount FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red' GROUP BY B.bid

- Grouping over a join of three relations.
- What do we get if we remove *B.color='red'* from the WHERE clause and add a HAVING clause with this condition?
- What if we drop Sailors and the condition involving S.sid?

Find age of the youngest sailor with age \geq 18, for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors

| SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age) |
|------------------------------|
| AS minage |
| FROM Sailors S |
| WHERE S.age >= 18 |
| GROUP BY S.rating |
| HAVING COUNT (*) > 1 |

Answer relation:

| rating | minage |
|--------|--------|
| 3 | 25.5 |
| 7 | 35.0 |
| 8 | 25.5 |

Sailors instance:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
|-----|---------|--------|------|
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 29 | brutus | 1 | 33.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 32 | andy | 8 | 25.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 64 | horatio | 7 | 35.0 |
| 71 | zorba | 10 | 16.0 |
| 74 | horatio | 9 | 35.0 |
| 85 | art | 3 | 25.5 |
| 95 | bob | 3 | 63.5 |
| 96 | frodo | 3 | 25.5 |

Find age of the youngest sailor with age \geq 18, for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors.

| rating | age | | rating | age | | |
|--------|------|---|--------|------|--------|--------|
| 7 | 45.0 | | 1 | 33.0 | | |
| 1 | 33.0 | | 3 | 25.5 | | |
| 8 | 55.5 | | 3 | 63.5 | rating | minage |
| 8 | 25.5 | Ň | 3 | 25.5 | 3 | 25.5 |
| 10 | 35.0 | | 7 | 45.0 | 7 | 35.0 |
| 7 | 35.0 | , | 7 | 35.0 | 8 | 25.5 |
| 10 | 16.0 | | 8 | 55.5 | | |
| 9 | 35.0 | | 8 | 25.5 | | |
| 3 | 25.5 | | 9 | 35.0 | | |
| 3 | 63.5 | | 10 | 35.0 | | |
| 3 | 25.5 | | | | | |

Find age of the youngest sailor with age \geq 18, for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors and with every sailor under 60.

HAVING COUNT (*) > 1 AND EVERY (S.age <=60)



Find age of the youngest sailor with age \geq 18, for each rating with at least 2 sailors between 18 and 60.

| SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age) |
|-----------------------------------|
| As minage |
| FROM Sailors S |
| WHERE S.age >= 18 AND S.age <= 60 |
| GROUP BY S.rating |
| HAVING COUNT (*) > 1 |

Answer relation:

| rating | minage |
|--------|--------|
| 3 | 25.5 |
| 7 | 35.0 |
| 8 | 25.5 |

Sailors instance:

| sid | sname | rating | age |
|-----|---------|--------|------|
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 29 | brutus | 1 | 33.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 32 | andy | 8 | 25.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 64 | horatio | 7 | 35.0 |
| 71 | zorba | 10 | 16.0 |
| 74 | horatio | 9 | 35.0 |
| 85 | art | 3 | 25.5 |
| 95 | bob | 3 | 63.5 |
| 96 | frodo | 3 | 25.5 |

Find age of the youngest sailor with age > 18, for each rating with at least 2 sailors (of any age)

SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age > 18 GROUP BY S.rating HAVING 1 < (SELECT COUNT (*) FROM Sailors S2 WHERE S.rating=S2.rating)

- Shows HAVING clause can also contain a subquery.
- Compare this with the query where we considered only ratings with 2 sailors over 18!
- What if HAVING clause is replaced by HAVING COUNT(*) >1?

Find those ratings for which the average age is the minimum over all ratings

Aggregate operations cannot be nested! WRONG:

```
SELECT S.rating
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age = (SELECT MIN (AVG (S2.age)) FROM Sailors S2)
```

Correct solution (in SQL/92):

```
SELECT Temp.rating, Temp.average

FROM (SELECT S.rating, AVG (S.age) AS average

FROM Sailors S

GROUP BY S.rating) AS Temp

WHERE Temp.average = (SELECT MIN (Temp.average)

FROM Temp)
```

Null Values

- Field values in a tuple are sometimes unknown (e.g., a rating has not been assigned) or inapplicable (e.g., no spouse's name).
 - SQL provides a special value <u>null</u> for such situations.
- The presence of *null* complicates many issues. E.g.:
 - Special operators needed to check if value is/is not null.
 - Is rating>8 true or false when rating is equal to null? What about AND, OR and NOT connectives?
 - We need a <u>3-valued logic</u> (true, false and *unknown*).
 - Meaning of constructs must be defined carefully. (e.g., WHERE clause eliminates rows that don't evaluate to true.)
 - New operators (in particular, outer joins) possible/needed.

Integrity Constraints (Review)

- An IC describes conditions that every *legal instance* of a relation must satisfy.
 - Inserts/deletes/updates that violate IC's are disallowed.
 - Can be used to ensure application semantics (e.g., *sid* is a key), or prevent inconsistencies (e.g., *sname* has to be a string, *age* must be < 200)
- <u>Types of IC's</u>: Domain constraints, primary key constraints, foreign key constraints, general constraints.
 - Domain constraints: Field values must be of right type. Always enforced.

General Constraints

- Useful when more general ICs than keys are involved.
- Can use queries to express constraint.
- Constraints can be named.

CREATE TABLE Sailors (sid INTEGER, sname CHAR(10), rating INTEGER, age REAL, PRIMARY KEY (sid), CHECK (rating >= 1 AND rating <= 10)

CREATE TABLE Reserves (sname CHAR(10), bid INTEGER, day DATE, PRIMARY KEY (bid,day), CONSTRAINT noInterlakeRes CHECK (`Interlake' <> (SELECT B.bname FROM Boats B WHERE B.bid=bid)))

Constraints Over Multiple Relations

- Awkward and wrong!
- If Sailors is empty, the number of Boats tuples can be anything!
- ASSERTION is the right solution; not associated with either table.

CREATE TABLE Sailors (sid INTEGER, sname CHAR(10), rating INTEGER, age REAL, PRIMARY KEY (sid), CHECK ((SELECT COUNT (S.sid) FROM Sailors S) + (SELECT COUNT (B.bid) FROM Boats B) < 100)

CREATE ASSERTION SmallClub

CHECK

((SELECT COUNT (S.sid) FROM Sailors S)

+ (SELECT COUNT (B.bid) FROM Boats B) < 100)

Triggers

- Trigger: procedure that starts automatically if specified changes occur to the DBMS
- Three parts:
 - Event (activates the trigger)
 - Condition (tests whether the triggers should run)
 - Action (what happens if the trigger runs)

```
Triggers - syntax
```

```
<trigger definition> ::=
   CREATE TRIGGER <trigger name>
     <trigger action time> <trigger event>
     ON  [ REFERENCING <old or new values alias list> ]
     <triggered action>
<trigger action time> ::=
   BEFORE
  AFTER
<trigger event> ::=
    INSERT
   DELETE
  | UPDATE [ OF <trigger column list> ]
<trigger column list> ::= <column name list>
<triggered action> ::=
    [ FOR EACH { ROW | STATEMENT } ]
      [ WHEN <left paren> <search condition> <right paren> ]
     <triggered SQL statement>
```

Triggers - syntax

```
<triggered SQL statement> ::=
    <SQL procedure statement>
  BEGIN ATOMIC
      { <SQL procedure statement> <semicolon> }...
    END
<old or new values alias list> ::=
    <old or new values alias>...
<old or new values alias> ::=
   OLD [ROW ][AS ]<old values correlation name>
  NEW [ROW ][AS ]<new values correlation name>
  OLD TABLE [ AS ] <old values table alias>
   NEW TABLE [ AS ] <new values table alias>
```

Triggers: Example (SQL3)

CREATE TRIGGER youngSailorUpdate AFTER INSERT ON SAILORS REFERENCING NEW TABLE NewSailors FOR EACH STATEMENT

INSERT

INTO YoungSailors(sid, name, age, rating) SELECT sid, name, age, rating FROM NewSailors N WHERE N.age <= 18

Summary

- SQL was an important factor in the early acceptance of the relational model; more natural than earlier, procedural query languages.
- Relationally complete; in fact, significantly more expressive power than relational algebra.
- Even queries that can be expressed in RA can often be expressed more naturally in SQL.
- Many alternative ways to write a query; optimizer should look for most efficient evaluation plan.
 - In practice, users need to be aware of how queries are optimized and evaluated for best results.

Summary (Contd.)

- NULL for unknown field values brings many complications
- SQL allows specification of rich integrity constraints
- Triggers respond to changes in the database