Outline

- Introduction
- Background
 - Relational database systems
 - Computer networks
- Distributed Database Design
- Database Integration
- Semantic Data Control
- Distributed Query Processing
- Multidatabase Query Processing
- Distributed Transaction Management
- Data Replication
- Parallel Database Systems
- Distributed Object DBMS
- Peer-to-Peer Data Management
- Web Data Management
- Current Issues

Distributed DBMS

Relational Model

Relation

- ↑ A relation *R* with attributes $A = \{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$ defined over *n* domains *D* = $\{D_1, D_2, ..., D_n\}$ (not necessarily distinct) with values $\{Dom_1, Dom_2, ..., Dom_n\}$ is a finite, time varying set of *n*-tuples $\langle d_1, d_2, ..., d_n \rangle$ such that $d_1 \in Dom_1, d_2 \in Dom_2, ..., d_n \in Dom_n$, and $A_1 \subseteq D_1, A_2 \subseteq D_2, ..., A_n \subseteq D_n$.
- * Notation: $R(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$ or $R(A_1; D_1, A_2; D_2, ..., A_n; D_n)$
- Alternatively, given R as defined above, an instance of it at a given time is a set of *n*-tuples:

 $\{\langle A_1: d_1, A_2: d_2, ..., A_n: d_n \rangle \mid d_1 \in Dom_1, d_2 \in Dom_2, ..., d_n \in Dom_n\}$

- Tabular structure of data where
 - R is the table heading
 - Attributes are table columns
 - Each tuple is a row

Relation Schemes and Instances

Relational scheme

* A relation scheme is the definition; i.e., a set of attributes

- A relational database scheme is a set of relation schemes:
 - i.e., a set of sets of attributes
- Relation instance (simply relation)
 - * An relation is an instance of a relation scheme
 - * a relation **r** over a relation scheme $R = \{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ is a subset of the Cartesian product of the domains of all attributes, i.e.,

 $\mathbf{r} \subseteq Dom_1 \times Dom_2 \times \ldots \times Dom_n$

Domains

- A domain is a *type* in the programming language sense
 - Name: String
 - Salary: Real
- Domain values is a set of acceptable values for a variable of a given type.
 - * Name: CdnNames = {...},
 - Salary: ProfSalary = {45,000 150,000}
 - Simple/Composite domains
 - * Address = Street name+street number+city+province+ postal code
- Domain compatibility
 - Binary operations (e.g., comparison to one another, addition, etc.) can be performed on them.
- Full support for domains is not provided in many current relational DBMSs

Distributed DBMS

Relation Schemes



EMP(<u>ENO</u>, ENAME, TITLE, SAL, <u>PNO</u>, RESP, DUR) PROJ (<u>PNO</u>, PNAME, BUDGET)

Underlined attributes are relation keys (tuple identifiers).
Tabular form

Distributed DBMS

Example Relation Instances

EMP

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | SAL | PNO | RESP | DUR |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-------|-----|------------|-----|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P1 | Manager | 12 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P1 | Analyst | 24 |
| E3 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P2 | Analyst | 6 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Consultant | 10 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P4 | Engineer | 48 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | 24000 | P2 | Programmer | 18 |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P2 | Manager | 24 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | 27000 | P4 | Manager | 48 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | 34000 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P3 | Manager | 40 |

| PROJ | |
|------|--|
|------|--|

| PNO | PNAME | BUDGET |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------|
| P1 | Instrumentation | 150000 |
| P2 P3 | Database Develop. CAD/CAM | 135000 250000 |
| P4 | Maintenance | 310000 |

Repetition Anomaly

- The NAME,TITLE, SAL attribute values are repeated for each project that the employee is involved in.
 - Waste of space
 - Complicates updates

| <u>ENO</u> | ENAME | TITLE | SAL | <u>PNO</u> | RESP | DUR |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-------|------------|------------|-----|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P1 | Manager | 12 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P1 | Analyst | 24 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P2 | Analyst | 6 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Consultant | 10 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P4 | Engineer | 48 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | 24000 | P2 | Programmer | 18 |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P2 | Manager | 24 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P4 | Manager | 48 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P3 | Manager | 40 |
| | | | | | | |

Update Anomaly

 If any attribute of project (say SAL of an employee) is updated, multiple tuples have to be updated to reflect the change.

| _ | | | |
|---|-----|----|--|
| _ | ΝЛ | 1) | |
| _ | IVI | | |
| _ | | | |

| <u>ENO</u> | ENAME | TITLE | SAL | <u>PNO</u> | RESP | DUR |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| E1 E2 E2 E3 E3 | J. Doe M. Smith M. Smith A. Lee A. Lee | Elect. Eng. Analyst Analyst Mech. Eng. Mech. Eng. | 40000 34000 34000 27000 27000 | P1 P1 P2 P3 P4 | Manager Analyst Analyst Consultant Engineer | 12 24 6 10 48 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | 24000 | P2 | Programmer | 18 |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P2 | Manager | 24 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P4 | Manager | 48 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P3 | Manager | 40 |

Insertion Anomaly

 It may not be possible to store information about a new project until an employee is assigned to it.

| <u>ENO</u> | ENAME | TITLE | SAL | <u>PNO</u> | RESP | DUR |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| E1 E2 E3 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 | J. Doe M. Smith M. Smith A. Lee A. Lee J. Miller B. Casey L. Chu R. Davis J. Jones | Elect. Eng. Analyst Analyst Mech. Eng. Mech. Eng. Programmer Syst. Anal. Elect. Eng. Mech. Eng. Syst. Anal. | 40000 34000 34000 27000 27000 24000 34000 27000 34000 34000 | P1 P1 P2 P3 P4 P2 P2 P4 P3 P3 | Manager Analyst Analyst Consultant Engineer Programmer Manager Manager Engineer Manager | 12 24 6 10 48 18 24 48 36 40 |

EMP

Deletion Anomaly

 If an engineer, who is the only employee on a project, leaves the company, his personal information cannot be deleted, or the information about that project is lost.

May have to delete many tuples.

FMD

| <u>ENO</u> | ENAME | TITLE | SAL | <u>PNO</u> | RESP | DUR |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-------|------------|------------|-----|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P1 | Manager | 12 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P1 | Analyst | 24 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 34000 | P2 | Analyst | 6 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Consultant | 10 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P4 | Engineer | 48 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | 24000 | P2 | Programmer | 18 |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P2 | Manager | 24 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | 40000 | P4 | Manager | 48 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | 27000 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | 34000 | P3 | Manager | 40 |
| | | | 1 | | | |

Distributed DBMS

What to do?

 Take each relation individually and "improve" it in terms of the desired characteristics

- Normal forms
 - * Atomic values (1NF)
 - * Can be defined according to keys and dependencies.
 - * Functional Dependencies (2NF, 3NF, BCNF)
 - Multivalued dependencies (4NF)
- Normalization
 - * Normalization is a process of concept separation which applies a top-down methodology for producing a schema by subsequent refinements and decompositions.
 - Do not combine unrelated sets of facts in one table; each relation should contain an independent set of facts.
 - Iniversal relation assumption
 - INF to 3NF; 1NF to BCNF

Normalization Issues

- How do we decompose a schema into a desirable normal form?
- What criteria should the decomposed schemas follow in order to preserve the semantics of the original schema?
 - [↑] Reconstructability: recover the original relation \Rightarrow no spurious joins
 - Lossless decomposition: no information loss
 - Dependency preservation: the constraints (i.e., dependencies) that hold on the original relation should be enforceable by means of the constraints (i.e., dependencies) defined on the decomposed relations.

• What happens to queries?

Distributed DBMS © M. T. Özsu & P. Valduriez

Functional Dependence

• Given relation R defined over $U = \{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$ where $X \subseteq U, Y \subseteq U$. If, for all pairs of tuples t_1 and t_2 in any legal instance of relation scheme R,

 $t_1[X] = t_2[X] \Rightarrow t_1[Y] = t_2[Y],$

then the functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ holds in *R*.

Example

- In relation EMP
 - ‡ (ENO, PNO) → (ENAME, TITLE, SAL, DUR, RESP)
- In relation PROJ
 - ^{\ddagger} PNO → (PNAME, BUDGET)

Normal Forms Based on FDs



Distributed DBMS

Normalized Relations – Example

| EMP | | | | ASG | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | | ENO | PNO | RESP | DUR |
| E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 | J. Doe M. Smith A. Lee J. Miller B. Casey L. Chu R. Davis J. Jones | Elect. Eng Syst. Anal. Mech. Eng. Programmer Syst. Anal. Elect. Eng. Mech. Eng. Syst. Anal. | | E1 E2 E3 E3 E4 E5 E6 | P1 P1 P2 P3 P4 P2 P2 P4 | Manager Analyst Analyst Consultant Engineer Programmer Manager Manager | 12 24 6 10 48 18 24 48 |
| | | | | E7 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| | | | | E7 | P3 | Engineer | 36 |
| | E8 P3 Manager 40 | | | | | | 40 |
| | | | | | | | |

| PROJ | | | _ | PAY | |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| PNO | PNAME | BUDGET | | TITLE | SAL |
| P1 P2 P3 P4 | Instrumentation Database Develop. CAD/CAM Maintenance | 150000 135000 250000 310000 | | Elect. Eng. Syst. Anal. Mech. Eng. Programmer | 40000 34000 27000 24000 |

Relational Algebra

Specify how to obtain the result using a set of operators Form

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle Operator \rangle_{\langle parameters \rangle} \langle Operands \rangle \rightarrow \langle Result \rangle \\ \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \\ Relation (s) \qquad Relation \end{array}$

Relational Algebra Operators

- Fundamental
 - Selection
 - Projection
 - Union
 - Set difference
 - Cartesian product
- Additional
 - Intersection
 - 🕈 θ-join
 - Natural join
 - Semijoin
 - Division

Union compatibility

- Same degree
- Corresponding attributes defined over the same domain

Selection

Produces a horizontal subset of the operand relation

General form

 $\sigma_F(R) = \{t \mid t \in R \text{ and } F(t) \text{ is true} \}$

where

- *R* is a relation, *t* is a tuple variable
- * F is a formula consisting of
 - operands that are constants or attributes
 - * arithmetic comparison operators

 $<,>,=,\neq,\leq,\geq$

f logical operators

∧, ∨, ¬

Selection Example

EMP

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE |
|-----|-----------|-------------|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. |

| $\sigma_{\text{TITLE='Elect. Eng.'}}(EMP)$ | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------|--|--|--|
| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | | | |
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng | | | |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | | | |

Projection

Produces a vertical slice of a relation

General form

$$\Pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(R) = \{t[A_1,...,A_n] \mid t \in R\}$$

where

- *R* is a relation, *t* is a tuple variable
- $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is a subset of the attributes of *R* over which the projection will be performed
- Note: projection can generate duplicate tuples.
 Commercial systems (and SQL) allow this and provide
 - Projection with duplicate elimination
 - Projection without duplicate elimination

Projection Example

PROJ

| PNO | PNAME | BUDGET |
|-----|-------------------|--------|
| P1 | Instrumentation | 150000 |
| P2 | Database Develop. | 135000 |
| P3 | CAD/CAM | 250000 |
| P4 | Maintenance | 310000 |

$\Pi_{\text{PNO,BUDGET}}(\text{PROJ})$

| PNO | BUDGET |
|-----|--------|
| P1 | 150000 |
| P2 | 135000 |
| P3 | 250000 |
| P4 | 310000 |

Union

- Similar to set union
- General form

 $R \cup S = \{t \mid t \in R \text{ or } t \in S\}$

- where R, S are relations, t is a tuple variable
- Result contains tuples that are in R or in S, but not both (duplicates removed)
- *R*, *S* should be union-compatible

Set Difference

General Form

 $R - S = \{t \mid t \in R \text{ and } t \notin S\}$

where R and S are relations, t is a tuple variable

- Result contains all tuples that are in R, but not in S.
- $R S \neq S R$
- *R, S* union-compatible

Cartesian (Cross) Product

Given relations

- **†** R of degree k_1 , cardinality n_1
- * S of degree k_{2} , cardinality n_{2}

Cartesian (cross) product:

 $\begin{aligned} R \times S &= \{ t \, [A_1, \dots, A_{k_1}, A_{k_1+1}, \dots, A_{k_1+k_2}] \mid t[A_1, \dots, A_{k_1}] \in R \\ \text{and} \quad t[A_{k_1+1}, \dots, A_{k_1+k_2}] \in S \end{aligned}$

The result of $R \times S$ is a relation of degree $(k_1 + k_2)$ and consists of all $(n_{1^*} n_2)$ -tuples where each tuple is a concatenation of one tuple of R with one tuple of S.

Cartesian Product Example

| EMP | | | | |
|-----|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | | |
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng | | |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | | |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | | |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | | |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | | |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | | |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | | |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | | |

 $EMP \times PAY$

| | ENO | ENO ENAME EMP.TITLE PAY.TITLE | | SALARY | |
|----|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| | E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| Č. | E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| | E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| | E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | Programmer | 60000 |
| | E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| | E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| | E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| | E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | Programmer | 60000 |
| | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | Programmer | 60000 |
| _ | _ | | _ | | |
| | E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| | E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| | E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| | E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | Programmer | 60000 |

PAY

| TITLE | SALAR |
|-------------|-------|
| Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| Programmer | 60000 |

Intersection

Typical set intersection

$$R \cap S = \{t \mid t \in R \text{ and } t \in S\}$$

= R - (R - S)

• *R*, *S* union-compatible

θ–Join

General form

$$R \Join_{F(R,A_{i},S,B_{j})} S = \{t[A_{1},\ldots,A_{n},B_{1},\ldots,B_{m}] \mid t[A_{1},\ldots,A_{n}] \in R \text{ and } t[B_{1},\ldots,B_{m}] \in S$$

and $F(R,A_{i},S,B_{j})$ is true}

where

- *R*, *S* are relations, *t* is a tuple variable
- + $F(R.A_i, S.B_i)$ is a formula defined as that of selection.
- A derivative of Cartesian product

*
$$R \Join_F S = \sigma_F(R \times S)$$

Join Example

| F | NЛ | D |
|---|-----|---|
| | 111 | |

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| E1 | | Elect Eng |
| L 1 | J. DUE | LIECL LING |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. |
| E9 | A. Hsu | Programmer |
| E10 | T. Wong | Syst. Anal. |

| EMP 🛏 EMP.ENO=AS | G.ENO ^{ASG} |
|------------------|----------------------|
|------------------|----------------------|

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | PNO | RESP | DUR |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-----|------------|-----|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | P1 | Manager | 12 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | P1 | Analyst | 12 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | P2 | Analyst | 12 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | P3 | Consultant | 12 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | P4 | Engineer | 12 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | P2 | Programmer | 12 |
| E5 | J. Miller | Syst. Anal. | P2 | Manager | 12 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | P4 | Manager | 12 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | P3 | Engineer | 12 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | P3 | Manager | 12 |

(a)

(b)

Types of Join

- Equi-join
 - The formula F only contains equality
 - $\blacksquare R \Join_{R.A=S.B} S$

Natural join

- Equi-join of two relations R and S over an attribute (or attributes) common to both R and S and projecting out one copy of those attributes
- $R \bowtie S = \prod_{R \cup S} \sigma_F(R \times S)$

Natural Join Example

EMP

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE |
|-----|-----------|-------------|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng |
| E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. |

PAY

| <u> </u> | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| TITLE | SALARY | |
| Elect. Eng. | 55000 | |
| Syst. Anal. Mech. Eng. | 70000 45000 | |
| Programmer | 60000 | |

EMP 🔀 PAY

| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | SALARY |
|-----|-----------|-------------|--------|
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | 70000 |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | 60000 |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | 55000 |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | 45000 |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | 70000 |

Join is over the common attribute TITL

Types of Join

- Outer-Join
 - Ensures that tuples from one or both relations that do not satisfy the join condition still appear in the final result with other relation's attribute values set to NULL

 - In the term of ter
 - In Full outer join →

Outer Join Example

• Left outer join

| | EMP₩ | ENO ASG | G | | | |
|----|----------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| | ENO | ENAME | TITLE | PNO | RESP | DUR |
| | E1 F2 | J. Doe M. Smith | Elect. Eng. Syst. Anal | P1 P1 | Manager Analyst | 12 12 |
| | E2 | M. Smith | Syst. Anal. | P2 | Analyst | 12 |
| 62 | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | P3 | Consultant | 12 |
| | E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | P4 | Engineer | 12 |
| ł | E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | P2 | Programmer | 12 |
| | E5 | J. Miller | Syst. Anal. | P2 | Manager | 12 |
| | E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | P4 | Manager | 12 |
| | E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | P3 | Engineer | 12 |
| | E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | P3 | Manager | 12 |
| | E9 | A. Hsu | Programmer | Null | Null | Null |
| | E10 | T. Wong | Syst. Anal. | Null | Null | Null |

Semijoin

Derivation

$$R \ltimes_F S = \prod_A (R \Join_F S) = \prod_A (R) \Join \prod_{A \cap B} (S) = R \Join_F \prod_{A \cap B} (S)$$

where

- * R, S are relations
- A is a set of attributes

Semijoin Example

| EMP - EMP.TITLE=PAY.TITLE PAY | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| ENO | ENAME | TITLE | | |
| E1 | J. Doe | Elect. Eng. | | |
| E2 | M. Smith | Analyst | | |
| E3 | A. Lee | Mech. Eng. | | |
| E4 | J. Miller | Programmer | | |
| E5 | B. Casey | Syst. Anal. | | |
| E6 | L. Chu | Elect. Eng. | | |
| E7 | R. Davis | Mech. Eng. | | |
| E8 | J. Jones | Syst. Anal. | | |

Division (Quotient)

Given relations

- * *R* of degree $k_1 (R = \{A_1, ..., A_{k_1}\})$
- * S of degree k_2 (S = { $B_1, ..., B_{k_2}$ })

Let $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_{k_1}\}$ [i.e., R(A)] and $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_{k_2}\}$ [i.e., S(B)] and $B \subseteq A$.

Then, $T = R \div S$ gives T of degree $k_1 - k_2$ [i.e., T(Y) where Y = A - B] such that for a tuple t to appear in T, the values in t must appear in R in combination with *every tuple* in S.

Derivation

$$R \div S = \prod_{\gamma}(R) - \prod_{\gamma}((\prod_{\gamma}(R) \times S) - R)$$

Division Example

| ASG' | | | | PROJ' | | |
|----------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------|
| ENO | PNO | PNAME | BUDGET | PNO | PNAME | BUDGET |
| E1 E2 | P1 P1 | Instrumentation Instrumentation | 150000 150000 | P3 P4 | CAD/CAM Maintenance | 250000 310000 |
| E2 E3 | P2 P3 | Database Develop. CAD/CAM | 135000 250000 | | | |
| E3 E4 | P4 P2 | Maintenance Database Develop. | 310000 135000 | | | |
| E5 | P2 | Database Develop. | 135000 | | (ASG' ÷ PROJ') | |
| E6 F7 | P4 P3 | Maintenance CAD/CAM | 310000 250000 | | ENO | |
| E8 | . с Р3 | CAD/CAM | 250000 | | E3 | |

Relational Calculus

- Specify the properties that the result should hold
- Tuple relational calculus
- Domain relational calculus

Tuple Relational Calculus

- Query of the form {*t*|*F*{*t*}} where
 - *t* is a tuple variable
 - **F** is a well-formed formula
- Atomic formula
 - Tuple-variable membership expressions
 - * R.t or R(t) : tuple t belongs to relation R
 - Conditions
 - [↑] s[A] θ t[B]; s and t are tuple variables, A and B are components of s and t, respectively, $θ ∈ \{<,>, =,≠, ≤, ≥\}$; e.g., s[SAL] > t[SAL]
 - * s[A] θ c; s, A, and θ as defined above, c is a constant; e.g., s[ENAME] = 'Smith'
- SQL is an example of tuple relational calculus (at least in its simple form)

Domain Relational Calculus

- Query of the form $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n | F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ where
 - F is a well-formed formula in which x₁, x₂, ..., x_n are the free variables
- QBE is an example

| EMP | ENO | ENAME | TITLE |
|-----|-----------|-------|-------|
| | <u>E2</u> | P. | |

| ASG | ENO | PNO | RESP | DUR |
|-----|-----------|-----------|------|-----|
| | <u>E2</u> | <u>P3</u> | | |

| PROJ | PNO | PNAME | BUDGET |
|------|-----------|---------|--------|
| | <u>P3</u> | CAD/CAM | |

Computer Network

 An interconnected collection of autonomous computers that are capable of exchanging information among themselves.

- Components
 - Hosts (nodes, end systems)
 - Switches
 - Communication link



Internet

Network of networks



Types of Networks

According to scale (geographic distribution)

- Wide are network (WAN)
 - Distance between any two nodes > 20km and can go as high as thousands of kms
 - Long delays due to distance traveled
 - Heterogeneity of transmission media
 - * Speeds of 150Mbps to 10Gbps (OC192 on the backbone)
- Local area network (LAN)
 - Limited in geographic scope (usually < 2km)</p>
 - Speeds 10-1000 Mbps
 - Short delays and low noise
- Metropolitan area network (MAN)
 - In between LAN and WAN

Types of Networks (cont'd)

- Topology
 - Irregular
 - No regularity in the interconnection e.g., Internet
 - Bus
 - Typical in LANs Ethernet
 - Using Carrier Sense Medium Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD)
 - Listen before and while you transmit
 - Star
 - Ring
 - Mesh

Bus network



Communication Schemes

Point-to-point (unicast)

- One or more (direct or indirect) links between each pair of nodes
- Communication always between two nodes
- Receiver and sender are identified by their addresses included in the message header
- Message may follow one of many links between the sender and receiver using switching or routing

Broadcast (multi-point)

- * Messages are transmitted over a shared channel and received by all the nodes
- Each node checks the address and if it not the intended recipient, ignores
- Multi-cast: special case

Message is sent to a subset of the nodes

Communication Alternatives

- Twisted pair
- Coaxial
- Fiber optic cable
- Satellite
- Microwave
- Wireless

Data Communication

- Hosts are connected by links, each of which can carry one or more channels
- Link: physical entity; channel: logical entity
- Digital signal versus analog signal
- Capacity bandwidth
 - The amount of information that can be trnsmitted over the channel in a given time unit
- Alternative messaging schemes
 - Packet switching
 - Messages are divided into fixed size packets, each of which is routed from the source to the destination
 - Circuit switching
 - A dedicated channel is established between the sender and receiver for the duration of the session

Packet Format



Communication Protocols

- Software that ensures error-free, reliable and efficient communication between hosts
- Layered architecture hence protocol stack or protocol suite
- TCP/IP is the best-known one
 - Used in the Internet

Message Transmission using TCP/IP



Distributed DBMS

TCP/IP Protocol

